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## INTRODUCTION

Since the earliest days of biology, taxonomy has been one of its most important branches. From the time of Aristotle men have been listing, naming, and classifying plants and animals, their attention largely confined at first to those plants or animals that were either very conspicuous or of use to man. Until relatively recently the majority of biologists have been taxonomists to some extent, although today such fields as physiology, development, and genetics, that often involve experimentation, may have become more important than taxonomy.

Taxonomy in itself may seem to be of rather little use, but it is an essential adjunct to other important areas of biology. I believe it to be, in general, a tool developed by scientists for their convenience and that therefore the rules and methods that are adopted for its development should be largely determined by their usefulness rather than by more or less abstract concepts of "natural distinctions." It may, for example, be true that two races of snakes can be distinguished on the basis of differences in the hemipenis, but I consider
the difference to be unworthy of taxonomic recognition as long as the females are indistinguishable. Even if the hemipenis differences should be shown to have such a profound effect upon their breeding habits as to prevent cross-breeding of the two forms, I would still consider a new name inconvenient and hence, almost by definition, unnecessary.

Many of the topics that might be correlated with a taxonomic study such as this require large series of specimens from one relatively restricted locality. This is particularly true in the study of the limits of variability within a taxonomic entity and in attempting to distinguish populations by means of average characters. The Indian collections in the Museum of Comparative Zoölogy are, unfortunately, very deficient in respect to such large series. Of all the species discussed only half a dozen are represented by more than three or four specimens from any one area.

The collections are, however, of importance in other directions. They consist of 775 specimens representing 219 species or forms: of crocodilians 2 , chelonians 13 , lizards 93 , and snakes 112 . These include two species not previously described, two that seem to have been known before from only a single specimen, several types, and many topotypes. Although the majority of these reptiles were received at the museum over sixty years ago, very few of them have been adequately studied or described.

I have, therefore, individually examined every specimen, except for a few mounted cobras and pythons, and determined its squamation. In this paper the statistical results of this examination are presented under each species in order to facilitate identification and the definition of geographical races by other students.

Under the name of each species I have first quoted the original citation and type locality. Where these are based upon the plates in Russell's Indian Serpents (1796, 1801-1809), the reference to Russell has not been included. The sex of each example is then listed in most groups. This has been determined by dissection except for those lizards in which the males may be distinguished by their pores. The duplication of sex symbols ( $0^{7}, \circ$, or juv.) not preceded by a figure indicates two examples. Symbols separated by a comma always refer to individual specimens. When a juvenile (less than two-thirds the adult size) has been sexed, the $\sigma^{7}$ or $\$$ is followed by 'juv.' in parentheses. The departmental registration number and locality are listed after the sex. When known, the collector's name is given; if this is unknown, then the source from which the reptile reached the Museum.

The same applies to the date, which is the date of collection if known, otherwise the date of arrival at the Museum. It might be well to note here that the reptiles for which the date 1908 is listed were obtained at this time from the Indian Museum, although the name of the original collector is generally given. The letters N.D. indicate those specimens that lack any date. An analysis of the squamation of our examples follows. The number(s) of the labial(s) entering the orbit is indicated in parentheses after the number of upper labials. The temporals are divided into anterior and posterior (first and second figures respectively). The measurements of length given for each species refer to the largest specimen unless otherwise noted.

## LOCALITIES AND GAZETTEER

The region covered by this paper is all of the Indian mainland formerly included in the British Empire. It does not include Burma, Ceylon, or other islands in the Indian Ocean. The paper was nearly completed before the recent separation of Pakistan from India, and I have not separated the localities on this basis. Considerable difficulty has been experienced with many of the less well known place names, the spellings of which vary widely. In the gazetteer that follows I have listed all the localities from which the Museum of Comparative Zoölogy has material and have indicated their position more fully. In the three cases where I have been unable to find the locality in any atlas or gazetteer it is listed as "not found." The type localities are not included in the gazetteer but, where possible, I have followed the same authorities as for those that are listed.

## GAZETTEER

Spelling is according to the following authorities:
I. The National Geographic Society map of "India and Burma," Washington, D.C., April, 1946.
II. The Imperial Gazetteer of India, 3rd. ed. revised, 26 vols., Oxford, 1907-09, if listed therein but not on the National Geographic map.
III. The most recent atlas or gazetteer in which the locality could be found.

Agra, United Provinces
Ambala, Ambala Dist., Punjab
Anaimalai Hills, Coimbatore Dist., Madras
Baluchistan
Bangalore, Mysore
Beas River, N.E. Punjab

Benares Dist., United Provinces
Berar
Bombay
Calcutta, Bengal
Chanda Dist., Central Provinces
Cherrapunji, Khasi Hills, Khasi States
Chilka Lake, Puri Dist., Orissa
Coonoor, Nilgiris Dist., Madras
Cutch, States of Western India
Darjeeling Dist., Bengal
Delhi
Dhamoa River, Orissa (not found)
Ellora, Aurangabad Dist., Hyderabad
Fyzabad Dist., United Provinces
Ganges River
Ganjam, Orissa
Gwadar, Kalat Dist., Baluchistan
Himalayas
Indus Valley
Jeypore, Koraput Dist., Orissa
Jumna River, United Provinces
Kanara Dist., Bombay
Karachi, Sind
Karauli Dist., Rajputana
Karwar, Kanara Dist., Bombay
Kathiawar, Gujarat States
Kharu, on the Shyok River, Ladakh, Kashmir
Khasi Hills, Assam and Khasi States
Kodaikanal, Palni Hills, Madura Dist., Madras
Kolassy, Purnea Dist., Bihar (not found)
Kollegal, Coimbatore Dist., Madras
Kudremukh, Western Ghats
Kulu (Valley), Kangra Dist., Punjab
Kurseong, south of Darjeeling, Bengal ( $88^{\circ} 16^{\prime}$ E. Long. $26^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ N. Lat.)
Ladakh, Kashmir
Ludhiana Dist., Punjab
Madras
Malabar Dist., Madras
Matheran, Kolaba Dist., Bombay
Nemotha, near Silchar, Cachar Dist., Assam
Nilambur, Malabar Dist., Madras
Nilgiris Dist., (or Nilgiri Hills), Madras
Nushki, Chagai Dist., Baluchistan
Pamban Island, Ramnad Dist., Madras
Panchgani, Satara Dist., Bombay

Palni Hills, Madura Dist., Madras
"Pegu, Burma"
Pondichéry
Periyakulam, Madura Dist., Madras
Quetta, Quetta-Pishin Dist., Baluchistan
Rungeel Valley, Sikkim (not found)
Sabathu, Simla, Punjab States
Salt Range, Attock and Shahpur Dists., Punjab
Samaguting, Naga Hills, Assam
Sevagiri Hills, Tinnevelly Dist., Madras
Shembaganur, 8 miles north of Periyakulam, Palni Hills, Madura Dist., Madras
Sheveroy Hills, Salem Dist., Madras
Shillong, Khasi Hills, Khasi States
Sibpur, Howrah, Bengal
Sibsagar, Naga Hills, Assam
South Kanara Ghats, Kanara Dist., Bombay
Saoreni, south of Darjeeling, Bengal ( $88^{\circ} 12^{\prime}$ E. Long., $26^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ N. Lat.)
Taliparamba, Malabar Dist., Madras
Tellicherry, Malabar Dist., Madras
Teynampet, Madras City, Madras
Tinnevelly Hills, Tinnevelly Dist., Madras
Tista Valley, Bhutan Border, Sikkim
Tragbul Pass, 30 miles N.N.W. of Srinagar, Anantnag Dist., Kashmir
Travancore (Hills), Madras States
Trivandrum, Madras States
Wynaad, Malabar Dist., Madras

## SOURCES OF MATERIAL

Although the reptiles in the Museum of Comparative Zoölogy have come to us from thirty-five different sources, almost all of them are from six donors, one dealer, and two museums.

The most important single donor was Colonel R. H. Beddome, who sent many collections during the period 1870-1877: a total of 204 specimens representing 61 different species. These collections are of additional importance in that some of them were identified by Beddome as topotypes of species that he himself had described, and may, indeed, have formed parts of the original series from which the types were selected. It is unfortunate that nearly all this material is poorly localized as 'near Madras,' which term apparently included an extensive area.

Very well localized, however, are the collections of the Reverend M. M. Carleton, almost all of which were made in a small region of
the Punjab. From this source the Museum received (from 1871-1880) 230 specimens including 39 species.

When Thomas Barbour gave his own herpetological collection to the Museum in 1903, it included 5 Indian reptiles, all different species. His 1906-07 (wedding) trip around the world further enriched the Indian collections with 41 specimens which included 13 species.

Another source of material was William Theobald, who in 1866 presented a total of 27 examples of 17 species. It is much to be regretted that Theobald gave such unreliable localities with his reptiles since many of the specimens are of great interest.

From Colonel Frank Wall the Museum received 32 specimens (13 species) from 1921 to 1924.

A portion of Dr. M. A. Smith's important collection of sea snakes was received in 1927, of which 10 , representing 9 species, came from waters included in the scope of this paper.

Indian reptiles have been received in smaller numbers from other donors at various times: J. M. Barnard (2), J. J. Carleton (6), E. D. Franklin (1), T. H. Hornaday (2), Arthur Loveridge (3), D. C. Scudder (5), W. C. Scudder (1), Percy Watson (2), and Franz Werner (1).

26 Indian reptiles representing 21 species were purchased from E. Gerrard in 1877-86 by Alexander Agassiz. In 1883 a small series ( 8 ) of 6 different sea snakes was bought from H. A. Ward of Rochester.

One of the best methods of rounding out collections has always been exchange with other museums and this has been done with some success in the case of our Indian reptiles, though it is hoped that more will soon be possible. The first Indian material to be received in this way was a half dozen specimens from the Paris Museum through A. A. Duméril. There have been two other museums with whom exchanges of Indian material have been extensively carried on. A total of 43 examples ( 29 species) have come from the British Museum in five exchanges from 1885 to 1946 . The most magnificent exchange of all was made in 1908 and 1935 through N. Annandale at the Indian Museum, from whom we received 74 Indian specimens ( 72 being lizards) representing 71 species, most of which are otherwise unrepresented in the collection. These lizards are of additional importance in that many were taken by celebrated collectors such as Blanford, Maynard, Alcock, Jerdon, and Stoliczka. Some of these lizards may be typical material, but in most cases the original descriptions are too vague as to the number or source of the types for any certain identification to be made. There have been other minor exchanges with the

Australian Museum (1), Basel Museum (1), Berlin Museum (2). Boston Society of Natural History (1), Madras Museum (4), New York Zoölogical Society (1), Peabody Academy of Salem (4), Senckenberg Museum (5), and the L'nited States National Museum (1).
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## SUMMARY OF TAXONOMIC ALTERATIONS

This report consists principally of a correlation of the Indian reptile specimens in the Museum of Comparative Zoölogy with the descriptions given by Dr. Malcolm Smith in his definitive works on Indian herpetology.

As a result of this study the following species have been described for the first time:

Gymnodactylus malcolmsmithi, pp. 80-82
Typhlops loveridgei, pp. 110-111
the undermentioned have been reinstated as subspecies:
Boiga ceylonensis nuchalis (Günther), pp. 142-143
Echis carinatus pyramidum (Geoffroy), pp. 155-156
the following are regarded as subspecies:
Psammophilus blanfordanus (Stoliczka) as a subspecies of $P$. dorsalis (Gray), p. 94
Lygosoma (Leiolopisma) latcrimaculatum Boulenger as a subspecies of $L$. (L.) bilincatum (Gray), pp. 104-105
Lycodon travancoricus (Beddome) as a subspecies of L. aulicus (Linnaeus), p. 135
Natrix beddomii (Günther) as a subspecies of $N$. stolata (Linnaeus), pp. 138-139
the undermentioned is believed to be a synonym:
Uropeltis phipsonii (Mason) $=U$. rubrolineatus (Günther), pp. 120-122
the specific distinction of the following is considered questionable:
Lygosoma (Leiolopisma) ladacense (Günther) and L. (L) himalayanum (Günther), pp. 102-103
Riopa albopunctata (Gray) and R. punctata (Gmelin), pp. 105-106 and the following are believed doubtfully distinct:
Python molurus orbiculata Deraniyagala and P.m. molurus (Linnaeus), p. 124
Python molurus pimbura Deraniyagala and P.m. molurus (Linnaeus), p. 124
Chrysopelea ornata lankavae Deraniyagala and C. ornata (Shaw), pp. 132-133
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## SYSTEMATIC DISCUSSION

## CROCODYLIDAE

## Gavialis gangeticus (Gmelin)

Lacerta gangetica Gmelin, 1789, Syst. Nat., 1, p.1057: Type locality unknown.

> 1 mtd. (Exhibition) Jumna River (T. H. Hornaday) N.D.
> 1 mtd. (M. C. Z. 5263) India (H. A. Ward) 1883.
> skull (M. C. Z. 29777) India (E. Gerrard) 1931.
> skull (M. C. Z. 33950) Jumna River (T. H. Hornaday) 1932.
> skull (M. C. Z. 46551) India (Peabody Mus., Salem) 1942.

Upper teeth on either side 28-29, in each premaxilla 5; mandibular teeth on either side $25-26$; nuchals together with dorsals form a continuous series of 21 transverse and 4 longitudinal rows; post-occipitals 2 , or 2 with 2 smaller ones diagonally anterior to the larger pair in the exhibited specimen. Length of exhibited specimen $3320(1900+1420)$ mm .; overall length of largest skull (M. C. Z. 465.51), 890 mm .

## Crocodylus palustris palu'stris (Lesson)

Crocodilus palustris Lesson, 1834, in Belanger, Voyage Ind. Orient., Zool., p. 305: Ganges River, India.

> alcoholic o (juv.) (M. C. Z. 3835) Kanara, Bombay (E. Gerrard) 1878. skull (M. C. Z. 4036) India (E. Gerrard) 1878. skull (M. C. Z. 4371) India (E. Gerrard) 1878.

Upper teeth on either side 19, in each premaxilla 5; premaxillomaxillary suture fairly straight; nuchals 4 large and 2 small; postoccipitals 4 ; dorsal scutes missing in M. C. Z. 3835; webbing of fingers and toes normal. Length of juvenile $\circ, 301(160+141) \mathrm{mm}$.; overall length of larger skull (M. C. Z. 4371), 610 mm .

Trinomials are used to distinguish between this Indian form and the Ceylon subspecies - C. palustris kimbula Deraniyagala of which there are paratypes in the Museum of Comparative Zoölogy.

## CHELONIIDAE

## Eretmochelys imbricata (Linnaeus)

Testudo imbricata Linnaeus, 1766, Syst. Nat. (ed. 12), 1, p. 350: American and Asiatic Seas.

Eretmochelys squamata Agassiz, 1857, Contr. Nat. Hist. United States, 1, p. 382: Indian and Pacific Oceans.

$$
\text { juv. (M. C. Z. 1415) Bengal (J. M. Barnard) } 1857 .
$$

Jaws hooked; prefrontals 4; carapace tricarinate; shields imbricate; nuchal 1; costals 4 pairs; vertebrals 5; marginals 12 pairs; enlarged inframarginals 5 on each side; pygal absent; hind limb with 2 claws. Color above, dark brown; below blackish. Length of shell 73 mm .

This specimen is a cotype of the alleged Pacific race squamata.

## Chelonia mydas (Limaeus)

Testudo Mydas Linnaeus, 1758, Syst. Nat. (ed. 10), 1, p. 197: Ascension Island.

$$
\text { juv. (M. C. Z. 1414) Bengal (J. M. Barnard) } 1857 .
$$

Jaws not hooked; prefrontals 2; carapace unicarinate with indications of lateral keels; shields juxtaposed; nuchal 1; costals 4 pairs; vertebrals 5; marginals 12 pairs; enlarged inframarginals 4 on each side; pygal absent; hind limb with 1 claw. Color normal for young. Length of shell 58 mm .

This turtle was originally identified as C. m. japonica (Thunberg), but I follow Dr. Malcolm A. Smith (1931, p. 70) in considering this name synonymous with mydas.

## Lepidochelys olivacea (Eschscholtz)

Chelonia olivacea Eschscholtz, 1829, Zool. Atlas, p. 3, pl. iii: Manila Bay, Philippine Islands.

$$
5 \text { juv. (M. C. Z. 4003, 4018) Calcutta (W. Theobald) } 1866 .
$$

Jaws hooked; prefrontals 4 or 5 (in one example only); carapace tricarinate in all these juveniles; shields juxtaposed; nuchal 1, in contact with the first costals in all examples except M. C. Z. 4003A where it is separated, although this character is used in Carr's (1942, p. 4) key to the genera of Cheloniidae; costals $5-7$ pairs; vertebrals 6-7 ( 7 in one example only); marginals 13 pairs; enlarged inframarginals 4 on bridge with $3-7$ elsewhere; intergular absent; pygal absent; hind limb with 2 claws. Color above, uniformly dark; below paler. Length of shells $35-41 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Many authors have regarded $L$. olivacea as synonymous with Caretta caretta, or at most (M. A. Smith, 1931, p. 71) a race. Recently, however, Carr (1942, p. 2) has shown that, together with L. kempii, it is generically distinct.

## EMYDIDAE

## Geoemyda trijuga triduga (Schweigger)

Emys trijuga Schweigger, 1814, Prodr. Monog. Chel., p. 41: "Java."
\% (M. C. Z. 13193) No locality (E. D. Franklin) 1913.
© (M. C. Z. 20166) No locality (N. Y. Zool. Soc.) N. D.
Upper jaw notched, scarcely projecting mesially; bony temporal arch present; skin on hinder part of head somewhat divided into large shields; shell tricarinate, not serrated posteriorly; plastron deeply notched posteriorly; neural plates hexagonal, short sided behind; shields juxtaposed; nuchal small, pointed anteriorly; costals 4 pairs; vertebrals 5-6; marginals 12 pairs; axillary present; inguinal absent; pygal absent; front limb with 5 claws, hind limb with 4 . Color apparently normal for trijuga trijuga but the racial determination of these non-localized specimens must remain questionable. Length of ㅇ carapace (M. C. Z. 13193), 160 mm .

## Geoemyda trijuga indopeninsularis Annandale

Geoemyda indopeninsularis Annandale, 1913, Rec. Indian Mus., 9, p. 71, pls. v-vi: Singhbhum District, Chota Nagpur, India.

1 mtd . (Exhibition) Calcutta (No further data).
Similar to G. t. trijuga, but on account of its locality this specimen, whose head coloration cannot be satisfactorily ascertained, is referred to indopeninsularis. Length of carapace, 186 mm .

## Geoclemys hamiltoni (Gray)

Emys hamiltoni Gray, 1831, Illus. Indian Zool., pt. 6, pl. ix (bearing caption guttata): India.
\& (M. C. Z. 4004) Calcutta (W. Theobald) 1866.
1 mtd. (M. C. Z. 6759) India (T. Barbour) 1903.

Upper jaw slightly projecting, broadly emarginate mesially; bony temporal arch present; skin on hinder part of head divided into large shields; shell tricarinate, slightly serrated posteriorly ; plastron deeply notched posteriorly; neural plates hexagonal, short-sided in front; shields juxtaposed; nuchal small to moderate, broadest posteriorly; costals 4 ( 5 on one side of M. C. Z. 6759) pairs; vertebrals 5; marginals 12 pairs; axillary and inguinal present; pygal absent; front limb with 5 claws, hind limb with 4 . Color normal. Length of carapace of mounted example, 226 mm .

## Hardella thurgil (Gray)

Emys thurgii Gray, 1831, Syn. Rept., pp. 22, 72: India.
of (M. C. Z. 4002) Calcutta (W. Theobald) 1866.
Upper jaw strongly projecting, much denticulated; bony temporal arch present; skin on hinder part of head divided transversely into small scales; shell unicarinate, slightly serrated posteriorly; plastron notched posteriorly; neural plates hexagonal, short-sided behind; shields juxtaposed; nuchal moderate, broadest posteriorly; costals 4 pairs; vertebrals 5; marginals 12 pairs; axillary and inguinal present; pygal absent; front limb with 5 claws, hind limb with 4 . Color normal. Length of carapaces, 98 and 107 mm .

On page 22 of his original description Gray three times spells the name Thurjii, but on page 72 of the "Additions and Corrections" he gives it as Thurgii, which corrected form I use. In the Illustrations of Indian Zoology - which presumably appeared after the Synopsis Reptilium - the name appears as Thuji.

## Kachuga smithil (Gray)

Batagur smithii Gray, 1863, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 253: Chenab River, Punjab, India.

> 1 shell (Exhibition) Bengal (No further data).
> 1 juv. (M. C. Z. 3233) Ludhiana, Punjab (M. M. Carleton) 1871.

Upper jaw strongly projecting, not notched, finely denticulated; bony temporal arch present; skin on hinder part of head divided into large, fairly symmetrical shields; shell unicarinate, very slightly serrated posteriorly; plastron not very deeply notched posteriorly; neural plates hexagonal, short-sided in front; shields juxtaposed;
nuchal small, almost rectangular; costals 4 pairs; vertebrals 5; marginals 12 pairs; axillary and inguinal present; pygal absent; front limb with 5 claws, hind limb with 4 . Color normal, the alcoholic specimen showing a large brownish patch on the upper surface of the neck. Length of carapace in exhibition specimen, 165 mm .

## Kachuga tectum tectum (Gray)

Emys tectum Gray, 1830, Illus. Indian Zool., pt. 2, pl. vii; 1831, 1, pl. lxxii: India.

$$
1 \text { mtd. (M. C. Z. 4005) Calcutta (W. Theobald) } 1866 .
$$

Upper jaw fairly strongly projecting, finely denticulated; bony temporal arch present; skin on hinder part of head divided into large shields; shell unicarinate, very slightly serrated posteriorly; plastron not very deeply notched posteriorly; neural plates hexagonal, shortsided in front; shields juxtaposed; nuchal very small, broadest posteriorly; costals 4 pairs; vertebrals 5 ; marginals 12 pairs; axillary and inguinal present; pygal absent; front limb with 5 claws, hind limb with 4. Color normal for $t$. tectum. Length of carapace, 95 mm .

## Kachuga dhongoka (Gray)

Emys dhongoka Gray, 1834, Illus. Indian Zool., 2, pl. lx: North India.
3 shells (M. C. Z. 4001) Bengal (No further data).
Shell unicarinate, slightly serrated posteriorly; plastron deeply notched posteriorly; neural plates hexagonal, short-sided in front; shields juxtaposed; nuchal small, much broader posteriorly; costals 4 pairs; vertebrals 5 ; marginals 12 pairs; axillary and inguinal present; pygal absent. Color normal with faint longitudinal stripes. Length of largest carapace, 218 mm .

## TESTUDINIDAE

## Testudo elegans Schoepff

Testudo elegans Schoepff, 1792, Hist. Test., p. 111, pl. xxv: India.
1 mtd. (M. C. Z. 6937) Calcutta (T. Barbour) 1903.
$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 18376) Assam (A. Loveridge) 1924.

Upper jaw projecting, slightly tricuspid; head with a pair of enlarged prefrontals, otherwise covered with small irregular scales; shell not carinate, but each vertebral and costal markedly humped, deeply serrated posteriorly; plastron notched posteriorly; neural plates alternately tetragonal and hexagonal; shields juxtaposed; nuchal absent; costals 4 pairs; vertebrals 5 ; marginals 11 pairs; axillary and inguinal rather small; pygal present; front limb with 5 claws, hind limb with 4 . Color normal except that in both examples the number of streaks on each shield is often less than eight; in M. C. Z. 6937 four shields have only 5-7 streaks, while in M. C. Z. 18376 one has 5 , five have 6 , two have 7 , and only five have 8 or more streaks. Length of carapace (M. C. Z. 6937), 135 mm .

## TRIONYCHIDAE

## Lissemys punctata punctata (Bonnaterre)

Testudo punctata Bonnaterre, 1789, in Daubenton, Tab. Eñeycl. Méth., Erpét., p. 30, pl. vi, fig. 4: Les Grandes Indes.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { juv. (M. C. Z. 4006) Calcutta (W. Theobald) } 1866 . \\
& \text { juv. (M. C. Z. 4174) India (E. Gerrard) } 1877 . \\
& \text { o }^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 28642) Benares (Brit. Mus.) } 1929 . \\
& \text { skull (M. C. Z. 49013) India (E. Gerrard) } 1877 ?
\end{aligned}
$$

Neural plates 7-8; nuchal plate large; a prenuchal marginal; costal plates 8 pairs, the last 2 pairs forming a median suture; a series of posterior margin plates present; plastral callosities 7 ; front limb with 3 claws, hind limb with 3 . Color normal, the spots very faint in M. C. Z. 4174. Length of bony carapace (M. C. Z. 28642), 130 mm .

## Chitra indica (Gray)

Trionyx indicus Gray, 1831, Syn. Rept., p. 47: Fatehgarh, Ganges River, India•
1 skeleton (Exhibition) India (No further data).
Neural plates 8; nuchal plate overlying second dorsal rib; costal plates 8 pairs, the last pair in contact on the median line; hyoplastron and hypoplastron distinct, separate. This specimen is unusual in having 4 pairs of bones in the body of the hyoid, while, according to Dr. Malcolm Smith (1931, p. 152) "three appear to be constantly present in Chitra." Length of bony carapace, 490 mm .

## GEKKONIDAE

## Teratoscincus scincus (Schlegel)

Stenodactylus scincus Schlegel, 1858, Handl. Dierk., 2, p. 16: Ili River, Turkestan.

$$
\sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 7126) Baluchistan (F. P. Maynard) } 1908 .
$$

Upper labials $10-11$; lower labials $8-9$ ( $10-12$ is given as the usual range by M. A. Smith, 1935, p. 31); postnasals 2 ; midbody scale rows 34. The coloration is very well defined in our example, which shows four irregular blackish crossbars on the back and four on the top of the tail; there are also remnants of four longitudinal black stripes on the back, and the head is distinctly and elegantly marked with dark brown. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 122(72+50) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Stenodactylus lumsdenii Boulenger

Stenodactylus lumsdenii Boulenger, 1887, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., 3, p. 479 : in the sandy desert between Nushki and Helmand, North Baluchistan, India.
$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 7137) Baluchistan (F. P. Maynard \& A. H. MacMahon)
$\quad 1908$.

This well-preserved gecko is apparently the first known male of a rare species until now known only from the female type. It was received in exchange from the Indian Museum as Agamura persica. Our male agrees with the description of the type as given by M. A. Smith (1935, p. 35) except in coloration; instead of the 7 distinct crossbars on the back M. C. Z. 7137 has 6 wide w-shaped bars with indications of 4 very narrow longitudinal ones; in addition it has 10 dark bands on the tail, and a blackish curved mark on the neck. It has 7 preanal pores and shows very marked swellings at the base of the tail. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 99(44+55) \mathrm{mm}$., which is 26 mm . longer than the type.

## Alsophylax tuberculatus (Blanford)

Bunopus tuberculatus Blanford, 1874, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (4), 13, p. 454: Baluchistan, India.

$$
\text { \& cotype (M. C. Z. 7128) Baluchistan (W. T. Blanford) } 1874 .
$$

Upper labials 11; lower labials 10. In coloration the dark brown spots scarcely form crossbars on the back. Total length of $\circ, 76$ $(34+42) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Gymnodactylus malcolmsmitif spec. nov.

Type. Museum of Comparative Zoölogy, No. 3252, an adult male from the Beas River basin, Punjab, India, collected by the Rev. M. M. Carleton about 1872.

Paratype. Museum of Comparative Zoölogy, No. 4335, a juvenile from the Kulu Valley, Punjab, India, taken by the same collector, also about, 1872 .

The localities on these specimens seem likely to be correct, as nearly all the work of this collector was done in one small area.

Diagnosis. Considerable difficulty was experienced in determining whether these lizards should be assigned to the genus Gymnodactylus or Hemidactylus. Lnfortunately their condition does not permit a wholly satisfactory examination of the digits, which apparently exhibit a moderate dilation, there being about S-9 lamellae under the fourth toe; one or two of the lamellae give the appearance of being divided - particularly in the larger specimen. Mr. Loveridge very kindly examined these lizards at my request and concluded that the digital condition is closest to that found in Gymnodactylus, even though in some ways approaching Hemidactylus. The smaller gecko was sent to Dr. Malcolm Smith who was good enough to examine it and state that he considered it to be a new Gymnodactylus. I shall, therefore, place these lizards in the genus Gymnodactylus even though it will be necessary for the purpose of diagnosis to compare them with some species of Hemidactylus.

These two geckos show considerably greater expansion of the digits than do any other Indian Gymnodactylus examined, although this may be partly due to their rather swollen and macerated condition. They differ from all the G. scabor group in their less massively developed dorsal tubercles, and in the number and arrangement of the preanofemoral pores. They differ from all the G. pulchellus group in length of limb, arrangement of the pores, or in range; and from other Gymnodactylus in the presence of pores and of enlarged transverse plates beneath the tail.

The new lizards differ from all the Indian Hemidactylus in their digits, but most nearly approach $H$. maculatus from which they further differ in the size of the ear-opening, development of the post-
mentals, size of the dorsal tubercles, number of preano-femoral pores, length of limb, range and size. In addition to digital expansion both our geckos differ from other Indian species of Hemidactylus in at least two of the following characters: size and development of the dorsal tubercles, number of subdigital lamellae, size of ear-opening, number and arrangement of pores, or range.

Description. (Paratype data, where differing from that of the type, included in parentheses). Head and body depressed; head moderate; snout longer than the distance between the eye and the ear-opening, which is about as large as the first upper labial; upper labials (11) -12 ; lower labials (8) -10 ; rostral broader than high, with median cleft above; nostril between the rostral and 3 nasals, not (or just in paratype) including the first labial; a pair of internasals separated by a single scale; head covered above with rounded granular scales which are largest upon the canthus rostralis; mental large, subtriangular, twice as long as the adjacent labials; two pairs of postmentals, the inner pair well developed and in contact behind the mental, the outer pair much (somewhat) smaller and separated (not in paratype) by a row of small scales from the lower labials; the flat granular scales behind the postmentals are somewhat enlarged and merge gradually into the very small scales of the gular region.

Back with small granular scales intermixed with rather irregular rows of much larger, rounded, keeled tubercles which at midbody are arranged in about 16 oblique rows across the back (i.e. 8 on each side of the vertebral line); no enlarged scales on the very slight lateral folds which are separated across the middle of the belly by about 36 rounded, imbricate scales; the adpressed hind limb reaches to a point considerably short of the axilla; digits elongate with exceptionally well developed lamellae for this genus, S-9 under the fourth toe (see diagnosis above for further discussion of this character). Tail rather strongly depressed, oval in section, covered above with small imbricate scales and regular series of large keeled tubercles, 6 in a row except at the very base where there are 8 (not in paratype); below is a median series of transversely enlarged plates which are divided into two at the base of the tail and which are never as broad as the tail. Male with 12 (no count possible on paratype) preano-femoral pores separated mesially by two (one in paratype) scales from those on the other side.

Color. Both specimens are in poor condition. The type is faded and is at present pallid buff above; on the occiput an indistinct pale horseshoe-shaped mark connects with the posterior corners of the upper eyelids. A light vertebral line. Possibly some indication of darker
transverse barring on the limbs and tail. Below, white, uniform. The paratype is gray above, limbs brownish. Below, paler gray (possibly discolored).

Size. Type. Length from snout to vent 55 mm ., tail 45 mm ., but tip regenerated. Paratype. Length from snout to vent 38 mm ., tail 44 mm .

Remarks. I take great pleasure in naming this interesting new gecko after Dr. Malcolm A. Smith whose three definitive volumes on Indian reptiles have been my constant guide, and whose help in answering questions has been of great service to me.

## Gymnodactylus fedtschenkoi Strauch

Gymnodactylus fedtschenkoi Strauch, 1887, Mem. Acad. St. Pétersb., 35, p. 46: Samarkand, Turkestan.

$$
\text { ¢ ? (M. C. Z. 7129) Salt Range, Punjab (W. Theobald) } 1908 .
$$

Due to the extreme dessication of this specimen, proper examination and checking of the specific determination prove impossible.

## Gymnodactylus scaber (Heyden)

Stenodactylus scaber Heyden, 1827, in Rüppell, Atlas N. Afr. Rept., p. 15, pl. iv, fig. 2: Tor, Sinai, Egypt.
juv. (M. C. Z. 7130) Sind (Indian Mus.) 1908.
Owing to its very poor preservation a satisfactory examination of this tiny gecko could not be made. Length of head and body 20 mm ., tail missing.

## Gymnodactylus kachhensis kachhensis Stoliczka

Gymnodactylus kachhensis Stoliczka, 1872, Proc. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, p. 79: Cutch, India.

$$
\text { \& (M. C. Z. 7131) Sind (J. A. Murray) } 1908 .
$$

Condition very bad; upper labials 10 ; lower labials 9 ; apparently referable to the typical race though the number of scales across the belly is indeterminable. Total length of $\%, 71(42+29) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Gymodactylus feae Boulenger

Gymnodactylus feae Boulenger, 1893, Ann. Mus. Civ. Stor. Nat. Genova (2), 13, p. 313, pl. vii, fig. 1: Karenni Hills, Burma.
$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 21915) Calcutta (Berlin Mus.) 1925.
Upper labials 10 ( +2 or 3 small ones); lower labials 9 or 10 . In his original description Boulenger gives the upper labials as $7-8$, the lower as $8-9$, but Dr. Malcolm Smith, after re-examination of the type, states there are 11-12 upper and $9-10$ lower labials (similar to $G$. intermedius) so that M. C. Z. 21915 agrees better with Smith's count.

The identification of this lizard, originally received as G. pulchellus, has raised several problems. It seems scarcely possible it could be referred to either G. consobrinus or intermedius. It shows a clearly defined lateral fold of enlarged scales and has 28 large ( +6 or 8 small) scales between the folds; the enlarged tubercles are arranged in 24-26 rather irregular rows.

Although the type is a $\circ$ Boulenger describes the $\sigma^{7}$ as having a "continuous series of 32 pores along the thighs and across the preanal region." This description may have been based on the slightly pitted scales of the $\circ$ type. Dissection clearly shows our specimen to be a $\sigma^{7}$ but it has only a single preanal pore and the series of enlarged, slightly pitted, scales characteristic of the of type.

In coloration our example is similar to the type in the number and shape of its clearly marked dorsal crossbars, but lacks the large brown spots on the head which is uniform pale brown in this case. Its markings closely resemble those of intermedius as shown in Smith (1935, pl. i).

The stomach contains beetle and grasshopper remains as well as a coleopterous larva about 32 mm . in length. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 167$ $(74+93) \mathrm{mm}$., considerably larger than the type.

## Gymnodactylus khasiensis (Jerdon)

Pentadactylus khasiensis Jerdon, 1870, Proc. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, p. 75: Khasi Hills, Assam, India.
$\sigma^{77}$ topotype (M. C. Z. 7133) Cherrapunji, Assam (Lt. Bourne) 1908.
Upper labials 12; lower labials 10 ; preanal pores 12. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 122(83+39) \mathrm{mm}$., but tail regenerated.

## Gymnodactylus nebulosus Beddome

Gymnodactylus nebulosus Beddome, 1870, Madras Month. Journ. Med. Sci., 2, p. 174: Golconda Hills near Vizagapatam, India.

$$
\text { or (M. C. Z. 49109) Nilambur, Madras (Brit. Mus.) } 1946 .
$$

Upper labials 10 ; lower labials 10 ; no pores. Total length of $\sigma^{\text {r }}$, $67^{+}\left(42+25^{+}\right) \mathrm{mm}$., but tail regenerated. Collected by R. H. Beddome.

## Gymnodactylus stoliczkai Steindachner

Gymnodactylus stoliczkai Steindachner, 1869, Reise Novara, Rept., p. 15, pl. ii, fig. 2: near Karoo, north of Dras, Kashmir, India.

$$
\text { O (M. C. Z. 7132) Ladakh (F. Stoliczka) } 1908 .
$$

Tpper labials 9 ; lower labials $S$; no pores. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 85$ $(48+37) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Gymnodactylus lawderanus Stoliczka

Gymnodactylus lawderanus Stoliczka, 1871, Proc. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, p. 194, and 1872 Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 41, p. 105, pl. ii, fig. 4: Almora, Kumaon, India.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ơ (M. C. Z. 3152) Ambala (M. M. Carleton) } 1873 . \\
& 3 \sigma^{7} \sigma^{7}, \quad \text { \& (M. C. K. 3442, 4803) Kulu Valley (M. M. Carleton) } \\
& \text { 1873-4. } \\
& \text { or (M. C. Z. 19569) No data. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Upper labials $9-10$; lower labials $S-10$; preanal pores $6-S(4-5$ according to M. A. Smith, 1935, p. 59). Total length of ㅇ (M. (.. Z. 4S03), $96(60+36) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Aganura persica (Duméril)

Gymnodactylus persicus Duméril, 1856, Arch. Mus. Hist. Nat. Paris, 8, p. 481 : Persia.

오 (M. C. Z. 7136) Baluchistan (W. T. Blanford) 1908.
This specimen is a cotype of Agamura cruralis Blanford. Upper labials $13-14$; lower labials $10-11$. Total length of $\circ, 129(70+59)$ mm.

## Cnemaspis indica (Gray)

Goniodactylus indicus Gray, 1846, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., 18, p. 429: Madras Presidency, India.
of topotype (M. C. Z. 7138) Nilgiri Hills (R. H. Beddome) 1908.
Upper labials $7-8$; lower labials 6 ; femoral pores 3 on one side, 4 on the other. Length of head and body, 30 mm .; tail damaged.

## Cnemaspis wynadensis (Beddome)

Gymnodactylus wynadensis Beddome, 1870, Madras Month. Journ. Med. Sci., 1, p. 32: Wynaad, India.

$$
0^{7} \sigma^{7}, 5 \circ \circ \text { (M. C. Z. 4745) India (R. H. Beddome) } 1871 .
$$

Upper labials $6-\delta$; lower labials $6-8$; femoral pores $4-5$ on each side. Length of head and body of largest ㅇ, 39 mm ., tail damaged.

## Cnemaspis ornata (Beddome)

Gymnodactylus ornatus Beddome, 1870, Madras Month. Journ. Med. Sci., 1, p. 32: Tinnevelly, India.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ol}^{7}, 4 \text { ㅇ } \text { ¢, juv. (M. C. Z. 4749) No data. } \\
& \text { \& (M. C. Z. 7139) Travancore (R. H. Beddome) } 1908 . \\
& \text { of (M. C. Z. 28650) Wynaad (Brit. Mus.) } 1929 .
\end{aligned}
$$

There is some doubt as to the identification of M. C. Z. 7139 which is not in very good condition. M. C. Z. 28650 was received as $C$. marmoratus Beddome, which is a synonym of $C$. beddomei (Theobald). Upper labials 6-8; lower labials 6-8; preanal pores only 5 in male. Length of head and body of \& (M. C. Z. 28650), 52 mm .; tail damaged.

## Cnemaspis kandiana (Kelaart)

Gymnodactylus kandianus Kelaart, 1852, Prod. Fauna Zeyl., p. 186: Hills round Kandy, Ceylon.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 40^{7} \sigma^{7}, 5 \circ \\
& \circ \text { (M. C. 7. 3927, 4751) Madras (R. H. Beddome) } \\
& \text { N. D. } \\
& 0^{7}, \circ \circ \\
& \text { o (M. C. Z. 4752) India (R. H. Beddome) N. D. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Upper labials $7-8$; lower labials $7-8$; preanal pores 2 , femoral pores $3-4$ in males. Length of head and body of largest $\sigma^{7}, 44 \mathrm{~mm}$., tail damaged.

## Cnemaspis gracilis (Beddome)

Gymnodactylus gracilis Beddome, 1870, Madras Month. Journ. Med. Sci., 1, p. 32: Palghat Hills, Madras Presidency, India.

ㅇ (M. C. Z. 28651) Sevagiri Hills (Brit. Mus.) 1929.
Upper labials 8 ; lower labials 8 . Total length of $\circ$, $71(31+40)$ mm . This gecko conforms perfectly to the description of this rather doubtful species.

## Calodactylodes aureus (Beddome)

Calodactylus aureus Beddome, 1870, Madras Month. Journ. Med. Sci., 1, p. 31, pl. ii: Tiruppatur Hills, Eastern Ghats, India.

$$
0^{7}, ~ \circ, \text {, juv. (M. C. Z. 3918) Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D. }
$$

Upper labials 12-13; lower labials 12-13; in so far as our male has 8 preanal and 8 femoral pores on each side ( 24 in all), it seems probable that the ten specimens examined by M. A. Smith (1935, p. 79) were all females, for in all other respects this gecko conforms perfectly to Dr. Smith's description. Total length of $0^{7}, 151(70+81) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Hemidactylus maculatus Duméril \& Bibron

Hemidactylus maculatus (in part) Duméril \& Bibron, 1836, Erpét. Gén., 3, p. 358: Bombay, India.
© (M. C. Z. 4148) India (E. Gerrard) 1877.
Upper labials 11-12; lower labials 9; 13 lamellae under the fourth toe. Total length of $\circ, 164(80+84) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Hemidactylus turcicus turcicus (Linnaeus)

Lacerta turcica Linnaeus, 1758, Syst. Nat. ed. 10, 1, p. 202: "Oriente."

$$
\sigma^{7} \sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 7140-1) Sind (J. A. Murray) } 1908 .
$$

Upper labials 8-10; lower labials 8-9; lamellae under fourth toe $9-11$; enlarged tubercles on dorsum in 14-16 longitudinal rows; preanal pores 6. Total length of $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 7140), $108(49+59)$ mm .
M. C. Z. 7141, though received in exchange from the Indian Museum as $H$. persicus, is apparently conspecific with turcicus from the same source.

## Hemidactylus triedrus (Daudin)

Gecko triedrus Daudin, 1802, Hist. Nat. Rept., 4, p. 155: Type locality unknown.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma^{7} \delta^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 3917) Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D. } \\
& \sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 4149) No locality data (E. Gerrard) N. D. } \\
& \text { o juv. (M. C. Z. 7142) Colagelly Hills (R. H. Beddome) } 1908 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This last locality presumably refers to the hills around Kollegal, Madras. The gecko was received in exchange from the Indian Museum in 1908.

Upper labials 8-9; lower labials 8-9 (thus approaching the condition found in $H$. subtriedrus); lamellae under fourth toe 8 ; preano-femoral pores 6-7 on each side. This series shows well the transitions from juvenile to adult coloration. Total length of $\nabla^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3917), $159(74+85) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Hemidactylus brookil brookii Gray

Hemidactylus brookii Gray, 1845, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., p. 153: Borneo (restricted).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 7 \delta^{7} \sigma^{7}, 4 \text { ㅇ } \circ \text {, } 4 \text { juv. (M. C. Z. 3201, } 3441,3752 \text { ) N. India (M. M. } \\
& \text { Carleton), 1872-3. } \\
& 5 \sigma^{7} \sigma^{7}, 6 \text { o } \circ, 4 \text { juv. (M. C. Z. 3234, 3242) Bengal (M. M. Carle- } \\
& \text { ton) } 1872 . \\
& 0^{7} 0^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 3244) Kulu Valley (M. M. Carle- } \\
& \text { ton) } 1871 . \\
& 5 \sigma^{7} \delta^{7}, 6 \text { ㅇ } \circ \text {, } 3 \text { juv. (M. C. Z. 3747, 20254-20266) } 70 \text { miles s.w. } \\
& \text { of Ambala (M. M. Carleton) } 1879 . \\
& \text { juv. (M. C. Z. 4069) Ambala (M. M. Carleton) } \\
& \text { N. D. } \\
& 0^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 7583) Calcutta (T. Barbour) } 1906 . \\
& 0^{7}, ~ \%, \text { juv. (M. C. Z. 7585) Lucknow (T. Barbour) } 1906 . \\
& \text { of (M. C. Z. 21928) Central India (Berlin Mus.) } \\
& 1925 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Upper labials 9-11; lower labials 7-9; preano-femoral pores 8-13 on each side in male, not always interrupted mesially. Total length of $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 20266), $111(53+58) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Hemidactylus reticulatus Beddome

Hemidactylus reticulatus Beddome, 1870, Madras Month. Journ. Med. Sci., 1, p. 33: Kollegal, India.
$\sigma^{\circ}$ (M. C. Z. 49110) Sheveroy Hills (Brit. Mus.) 1946.
Upper labials 9 ; lower labials 8 ; lamellae under fourth toe 8; preanal pores 6. Total length of $0^{7}, 66(35+31) \mathrm{mm}$. This gecko was collected by R. H. Beddome, though not from the type locality.

## Hemidactylus frenatus Duméril \& Bibron

Hemidactylus frenatus Duméril \& Bibron, 1836, Erpét. Gén., 3, p. 366: Java.

$$
\sigma^{7} \sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 7618) Calcutta (T. Barbour) } 1906 .
$$

Upper labials $10-11$; lower labials 8-9; in one example a postmental is almost separated from the lower labials by small scales, thus approaching the condition in II. garnoti; lamellae under fourth toe 9-10; femoral pores 20-32 altogether. Total length of $0^{7}, 76^{+}\left(43+33^{+}\right)$ mm., but tip of tail missing.

## Hemidactylus leschenaulti Duméril \& Bibron

Hemidactylus leschenaulti Duméril \& Bibron, 1836, Erpét. Gén., 3, p. 364 : Ceylon.
$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 7144) Nilgiri Hills (W. Theobald) 1908.
Upper labials 12; lower labials $9-10$; lamellae under fourth toe 11 ; femoral pores 13 on each side. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 151(78+73) \mathrm{mm}$, but tail regenerated.

## Hemidactylus flaviviridis Rüppell

Hemidactylus flaviviridis Rüppell, 1835, Neue Wirb. Fanna Abyss., p. 18, pl. vi, fig. 2: Massaua Island, Eritrea.

$$
\begin{array}{rl} 
& \text { ㅇ. (M. C. Z. 3153) Ambala (M. M. Carleton) } 1873 . \\
o^{7} & o^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 3251) Bengal (M. M. Carleton) } 1871 . \\
\text { if of (M. C. Z. 7607) Jeypore (T. Barbour) } 1906 . \\
\sigma^{\top}, ~ ㅇ ㅏ ~(M . ~ C . ~ Z . ~ 7610) ~ D e l h i ~(T . ~ B a r b o u r) ~ & 1906 .
\end{array}
$$

Upper labials 13-15; lower labials 9-12 ( 9 on several of our specimens though $10-12$ is given as the range by M. A. Smith, 1935, p. 98); lamellae under the fourth toe $12-14$; femoral pores $5-6$ on each side. Our series shows a gradual reduction of enlarged tubercles on body and tail, one specimen exhibits numerous enlarged scales on the flanks and series of 6 upon the tail, others show a few rather enlarged tubercles
on the sides and series of only about 4 on the tail, while in some there are no enlargements on the body and the tail series consist of only about 3 enlarged scales. Total length of $\%$ (M. C. Z. 3153), 146 $(67+79) \mathrm{mm}$.
M. C. Z. 7607 and 7610 (5 examples) were previously identified as H. frenatus in Barbour (1912, p. 80).

## Hemidactylus bowringil (Gray)

Doryura bowringii Gray, 1845, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., p. 156: Hongkong or neighborhood.

> of (M. C. Z. 7145) Sibsagar, Assam (S. E. Peal) $1908 \cdot$
> 5 or $^{7} 0^{7}, 8$ ㅇ ㅇ (M. C. Z. 7581) Tista Valley, Sikkim (T. Barbour) 1906.

Upper labials $9-11$; lower labials $7-9$; lamellae under fourth toe 10-11; femoral pores 12-14 on each side in the males; tails on several specimens are somewhat segmented. Total length of largest gecko, $108(54+54) \mathrm{mm}$., but tail damaged.
M. C. Z. 7145 was received from the Indian Museum as H. garnoti. The Sikkim series were taken 'from the thatched roofs of Butiya's houses" (Barbour, 1912, p. 80).

## Cosmmbotus platyurus (Schneider)

Stellio platyurus Schneider, 1792, Amphib. Physiol., 2, p. 30: Type locality unknown.

$$
\text { \& (M. C. Z. 7146) Samaguting, Assam (Capt. Butler) } 1908 .
$$

U'pper labials 12 (9-11 in M. A. Smith, 1935, p. 103); lower labials 10 (8-9 in Smith, loc. cit.); lamellae under fourth toe 6 ; outer pair of postmentals not much smaller than the inner pair; no enlarged dorsal tubercles present. Total length of $\circ, 116(54+62) \mathrm{mm}$., but tail damaged.

As shown by G. S. Myers (Copeia, 1943, p. 192) Platyurus of Oken, used by M. A. Smith (1935, p. 102, etc.), is preoccupied by Platyurus of Ritgen, 1828.

## Gekкo gecko (Linnaeus)

Laretla gecko, Linnaeus, 1758, Syst. Nat. (ed. 10), 1, p. 205: "in Indiis."

$$
\sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. 7. 7238) Samaguting, Assam (Capt. Butler) } 1908 .
$$

Upper labials 13-14; lower labials 10-11; preanal pores 12. Totaı length of $0^{7}, 294(162+132) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Eublepharis macularius (Blyth)

Cyrtodactylus macularius Blyth, 1854, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 23, pp. 737-738: Salt Range, Punjab, India.

$$
\circ \text { (M. C. Z. 4268) Ambala (M. M. Carleton) } 1877 .
$$

$\sigma^{77}$ (M. C. Z. 7149) Karachi (Karachi Mus.) 1908.
Upper labials 9 ; lower labials $9-10$; preanal pores 12 in male. These specimens, the $\circ$ being young, show both juvenile and adult color patterns; the spots derived from the crossbars form longitudinal series in the adult male. Total length of $\delta^{1}, 220(125+95) \mathrm{mm}$.

## AGAMIDAE

## Draco dussumieri Duméril \& Bibron

Draco dussumieri Duméril \& Bibron, 1837, Erpét. Gén., 4, p. 456: Malabar, India.

$$
\sigma^{\circ} \text { (M. C. Z. 25909) Portuguese India (F. Werner) } 1928 .
$$

This flying lizard is unusual in having only 5 patagial ribs, 6 being the normal number according to M. A. Smith (1935, p. 143). Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 191(77+114) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Sitana ponticeriana Cuvier

Sitana ponticeriana Cuvier, 1829, Régne Anim. (ed. 2), 2, p. 43: Pondichéry, India.
of (M. C. Z. 7153) Chanda, Central Provinces (Indian Mus.) 1908.
If a typical smaller form is recognizable, then this agamid is referable to it as defined by Dr. Smith (1935, p. 145). Total length of ㅇ, $138(45+93) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Japalura tricarinata (Blyth)

Calotes tricarinatus Blyth, 1853, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 22, p. 650: Sikkim, India.

$$
\text { juv. (M. C. Z. 7158) Darjeeling (J. L. Lister) } 1908 .
$$

Total length of juvenile, $74(29+45) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Japalura planidorsata Jerdon

Japalura planidorsata Jerdon, 1870, Proc. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, p. 76: Khasi Hills, Assam, India.
> \& topotype (M. C. Z. 7197) Nemotha, near Silchar, Assam (J. WoodMason) 1908.

This lizard, typical in all other respects, lacks a fold in front of the shoulder and has the hind limb reaching to just beyond the snout, rather than to the ear or nostril as described by Smith (1935, p. 170). Total length of $\circ, 117(44+73) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Japalura variegata Gray

Japalura variegata Gray, 1853, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (2), 12, p. 388: Sikkim, India.
© (M. C. Z. 7196) Kurseong, Bengal (N. Annandale) 1908.
This specimen has only a slight blue mark on the gular pouch and shows a handsome, black-edged stripe running from the eye to just above the shoulder. Total length of $\%, 132(66+66) \mathrm{mm}$., but tail incomplete.

## Salea horsfieldii Gray

Salea horsfieldii Gray, 1845, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., p. 242: India.

> o (M. C. Z. 4128) Nilgiri Hills (E. Gerrard) 1877.
> o (M. C. Z. 7198) Nilgiri Hills (T. C. Jerdon) 1908.

In M. C. Z. 7198 the whitish scales on the flanks are scarcely enlarged and few in number. No. 4128 has a rather larger gular fold and her nuchal crest does not consist of the usual double row described by Smith (1935, p. 178) but more nearly resembles that of a male, though with shorter spines. However, the lizard is a gravid female containing 6 eggs measuring $16 \times 8 \mathrm{~mm}$. According to Dr. Smith (1935, p. 179) 3 or 4 is the characteristic number. Total length of ㅇ (M. C. Z. 4128), $219(74+145) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Salea anamallayana (Beddome)

Lophosalea anamallayana Beddome, 1878, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 153, pl. xiv: Anaimalai Hills at 6,000 feet, India.
© (M. C. Z. 7199) Trivandrum (Trivandrum Mus.) 1908.

This lizard has only about 5 nuchal spines but shows a few fine spines all along the back. Total length of $\circ, 234(83+151) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Calotes versicolor (Daudin)

Agama versicolor Daudin, 1802, Hist. Nat. Rept., 3, p. 395, pl. xliv: India.
$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 1334) Periyakulam, Madura District, Madras (D. C. Scudder) 1865.
3 or $^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3116) Calcutta (W. Theobald) 1866.
3 or or (M. C. Z. 3235-6, 3248) Bengal (M. M. Carleton) $1870 \& 1872$.
$3 \sigma^{7} \sigma^{7}, ~ ¢ ~ ¢, 8$ juv. (M. C. Z. 3237, 3746, 8315-25) 70 miles s.w. of Ambala (M. M. Carleton) 1872 \& 1879.
¢, 1 juv. (M. C. Z. 3250, 3253) Kulu Valley (M. M. Carleton) 1872.
4 skulls (M. C. Z. 44230-3) near Ambala (M. M. Carleton) 1879.
of (M. C. Z. 7201) Calcutta (N. Annandale) 1908.
$0^{7}, 2$ juv. (M. C. Z. 7638) Tista Valley, Sikkim (T. Barbour) 1907.
2 juv. (M. C. Z. 7639) Calcutta (T. Barbour) 1907.
$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 19630) Tellicherry (Basel Mus.) 1924.
Upper labials 10-13 (13 on one side only in two examples); gular scales in several adult males (including M. C. Z. 1334) almost devoid of keels; midbody scale-rows 36-48 ( 36 in M. C. Z. 8322 only), mostly 44-45. Total length of $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 1334), $455(126+329) \mathrm{mm}$.

Calotes maria Gray
Calotes maria Gray (part), 1845, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., p. 243: "Afghanistan" and Khasi Hills, Assam, India.

> o topotype (M. C. Z. 7203) Cherrapunji, Khasi Hills (Lt. Bourne) 1908.

Midborly scale-rows 57-59. Total length of $\circ, 386(97+289) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Calotes jerdoni Günther

Calotes jerdoni Günther, 1870, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 778, pl. xlv, fig. A: Khasi Hills, Assam, India.

$$
\sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 7204) Assam (Assam Government) } 1908 .
$$

Midbody scale-rows 55; light colored dorso-lateral stripes very distinct. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 218(60+158) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Calotes mystaceus Duméril \& Bibron

Calotes mystaceus Duméril \& Bibron, 1837, Erpét. Gén., 4, p. 408: Burma.
$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3117) Calcutta (W. Theobald) 1866.
Midbody scale-rows 49; gular scales rather strongly keeled and mucronate; gular pouch quite large and of a dark purplish color, chiefly on the interstitial skin. Head and back more or less uniform and almost without the spots and lines stated by Dr. Smith (1935, p. 198) to be usually present on the head and flanks. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 285(110+175) \mathrm{mm}$.

The locality is probably erroneous as has been previously pointed out in regard to material received from Theobald.

## Calotes elliotti Günther

Calotes elliotti Günther, 1864, Rept. Brit. India, p. 142: Malabar, India.

$$
\sigma^{7}, 申, 2 \text { juv. (M. C. Z. 6207) Anaimalai Hills at 4,700 feet (Brit. }
$$ Mus.) 1888.

Midbody scale rows $57-59$; both $\sigma^{7}$ and $\circ$, particularly the latter, are of interest in that the spine on the supercilium is exceedingly minute and the suborbital white spot in one has become depressed and diffused, resulting in a yellowish white upper lip. These lizards are almost intermediate between C. clliotti and C. rouxii. The $\circ$, which holds two eggs each about 15 mm . in diameter, is unusually large. Total length of $\circ, 262(71+191) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Psammophilus dorsalis dorsalis (Gray)

Agama dorsalis Gray, 1831, App. p. 56 in Griffith, Anim. King.: India.

$$
\sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 7209) Coonoor, Nilgiri Hills (F. Day) } 1908 .
$$

Midbody scale rows 142-146; dorsals scarcely keeled or imbricate; hind limb reaches the orbit; tail swollen at base with the vertebral scales enlarged. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 226(82+144) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Psammophilus dorsalis blanfordanus (Stoliczka)

Charasia blanfordana Stoliczka, 1871, Proc. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, p. 194, and 1872, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 41, p. 110, pl. iii, fig. 5: Central India.
$0^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 4112) Nilgiri Hills (E. Gerrard) 1877.
o (M. C. Z. 7210) Chanda, C. P. (W. T. Blanford) 1908.

I consider it advisable to regard P.d.blanfordanus as a subspecies of P. d. dorsa'is for, on the basis of our three specimens, admittedly a very small series, it seems most unlikely that the differences between dorsalis and blanfordanus are sufficient to justify their ranking as full species. Their very close relationship is particularly noticeable in M. C. Z. 4112 which combines the larger scales and deep antehumeral fold of blanfordanus with the shorter hindlimbs and absence of enlarged scales on the flank usually found in dorsalis.

Midbody scale-rows 88-93; dorsals keeled and imbricate; superciliary spine and enlarged scales on the flanks present in the $q$, absent in the $\sigma^{7}$; hindlimb reaching just beyond the orbit in the $\%$ but barely past the tympanum in the $\sigma^{7}$, this latter condition is characteristic of dorsalis according to Smith (1935, p. 209); base of tail in $\delta^{7}$ greatly swollen and all the scales much enlarged and thickened. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 193(71+122) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Agama himalayana (Steindachner)

Stellio himalayanus Steindachner, 1867, Reise Novara, Rept., p. 22, pl. i, fig. 8: Ladakh Province, Kashmir, India.
o topotype (M. C. Z. 7216) Ladakh, Kashmir (W. T. Blanford) 1878 ?
Upper labials 11 ; dorsal scale-rows $8-10$. The stomach contains small black seeds. Total length of $\%, 194(69+125) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Agama tuberculata Gray

Agama tuberculata Gray, 1827, Zool. Journ., 3, p. 218, and 1830-5, Illus. Indian Zool., 2, pl. lxxiii: "Bengal."
$\sigma^{7} 0^{7}, 3$ of of (M. C. Z. 2055) Kulu Valley (M. M. Carleton) 1870.
2 juv. (M. C. Z. 3145,3426 ) Kulu Valley (M. M. Carleton)
1871.
10 eggs (M. C. Z. 4295) Kulu Valley (M. M. Carleton) 1877.

Upper labials 10-13. Total length of an unusually large $\sigma^{7}$, (M. C. Z. 2055) $290^{+}\left(125+165^{+}\right) \mathrm{mm}$., the tail being incomplete.

Of these lizards the Rev. M. M. Carleton writes that they "abound in the sub- and mid-Himalayas, but are not found in the plains or low hills. They live in old stone walls, ledges of loose rocks and often frequent the walls of native houses in the hills, but never intrude within the house like the common house lizard. They are preyed upon by house cats and the large hill snake. The young of this lizard are eaten by the large hill crow. This lizard at some seasons of the year is nearly covered with lice or a large parasite resembling sheep ticks . . . these parasites appear only later in the season."

The ten eggs, which measure from $24 \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}$. to $23 \times 14 \mathrm{~mm}$. were originally identified as those of Calotes versicolor. However, a careful examination of the well developed embryo shows that they are apparently the eggs of Agama tuberculata.

## Agama agrorensis (Stoliczka)

Stellio agrorensis Stoliczka, 1872, Proc. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, p. 128: Sussel Pass, Hazara District, northwest Punjab, India.

$$
\text { o (M. C. Z. 7217) Kashmir (Indian Mus.) } 1908 .
$$

Dorsal scales in two strips 5-6 scales wide, separated by a vertebral series of small scales about 3 rows wide, towards the occiput the dorsal strips converge to form a single row of enlarged scales; hind limb reaches only just beyond the tympanum (not to the eye or tip of snout as described by Smith, 1935, p. 216). There are three very distinct, dark olive, longitudinal lines on the back which become paler posteriorly. Total length of $\circ, 226(74+152) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Agama melanura (Blyth)

Laudakia (Plocederma) melanura Blyth, 1854, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 23, p. 738: ? Salt Range, Punjab, India.

$$
\text { ס', juv. (M. C. Z. 7218-9) Sind (J. A. Murray) } 1908 .
$$

Dorsal scales strongly keeled in both examples. The juvenile shows well defined dark reticulations on the rather pale olive back and flanks. Its stomach contains the remains of flowers and what appear to be fragments of insects. Total length of $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 7218), 397 $(115+282) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Agama nupta de Filippi

A gama nupta de Filippi, 1843, Giorn. Ist. Lomb. Bib. Ital., 6, p. 407 : Persepolis, Persia.

$$
O^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 7220) Baluchistan (W. T. Blanford) } 1908 .
$$

Median nuchal crest barely noticeable; callous preanal scales mostly in two rows; chest and limbs almost black (apparently a seasonal coloration according to Dr. Smith, 1935, p. 220). The stomach contains flowers, flies, and other insect remains. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 390^{+}\left(156+234^{+}\right) \mathrm{mm}$., the tail being partly regenerated.

## Agama agilis Olivier

Agama agilis Olivier, 1807, Voy. Emp. Otho., 4, p. 394, and Atlas (12), pl. xxix, fig. 2: neighborhood of Baghdad, Persia.

$$
\text { juv. (M. C. Z. 7212) Sind (Indian Mus.) } 1908 .
$$

Hindlimb reaches almost to the nostril; a very distinct vertebral and two faint lateral series of light colored oval spots crossing the dark crossbars. Total length of juv., $157(67+90) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Agama rubrigularis (Blanford)

Trapelus rubrigularis Blanford, 1875, Proc. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, p. 233, and 1876, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 45, p. 23, pl. i, fig. 1: foot of the Khirthar Hills, Western Sind, India.

$$
\text { juv. (M. C. Z. 7213) Sind (J. A. Murray) } 1908 .
$$

Although young, this lizard shows a row of 12 preanal pores with a few more forming an anterior series, which appear to be secretory. Total length, $84(41+43) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Agama minor Hardwicke \& Gray

Agama minor Hardwicke \& Gray, 1827, Zool. Journ., 3, p. 218: "Chittagong", India.

$$
\text { \& (M. C. Z. 7211) Kathiawar (F. Fedden) } 1908 .
$$

Midbody scale-rows 56. Total length of $\%, 103(56+47) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Pirynocephalus scutellatus (Olivier)

Agama scutellata Olivier, 1807, Voy. Emp. Otho., 3, p. 110 (ed. 4), b, p. 196 (ed. 8), and Atlas, pl. xlii, fig. 1: near Ispahan, Persia.

> \& (M. C. Z. 7221 ) Baluchistan (F. P. Maynard \& A. H. MacMahou) 1908.

Outside edges of third and fourth toes only feebly denticulate; hind limb reaches the snout (not "about the level of the eye" as in Smith, 1935, p. 230). Total length of $\circ, 98(40+58) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Phrynocephalus theobaldi Blyth

Phrynocephalus theobaldi Blyth, 1863, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 32, p. 90: Lake Tsho-marari, Rupshu Province, Tibet.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ㅇ (M. C. Z. 4153) India (E. Gerrard) 1877, } \\
& \sigma^{7} \text {, } \uparrow \text { (M. C. Z. 7222-3) Indus Valley, Ladakh, Kashmir (F. } \\
& \text { Stoliczka) } 1908 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hind limb of the $0^{7}$ reaches the eye (to the axilla or region of the ear is characteristic according to Dr. Smith, 1935, p. 231). In M. C. Z. 7223 the black patch on the belly is very extensive, covering most of the throat as well as the abdomen. Total length of $\%$ (M. C. Z. 7223), $113(53+60) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Phrynocephalus ornatus Boulenger

Phrynocephalus ornatus Boulenger, 1887, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., 3, p. 496: between Nushki and the Helmand River, Afghan-Baluchistan frontier.
\& (M. C. Z. 7224) Baluchistan (F. P. Maynard \& A. N. MacMahon) 1908.

Only 3 transverse bars on underside of tail ( 4 or 5 are constant for this species according to Dr. Smith, 1935, p. 233). Total length of $\circ$, $85(36+49) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Phrynocephalus maculatus Anderson

Phrynocephalus maculatus Anderson, 1872, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 389: Awada, Shiraz, Persia.

[^0]Although, I believe, undoubtedly referable to $P$. maculatus, this specimen is unusual in having the nasal shields separated by 3 scales (1 or 2 being considered diagnostic by Dr. Smith, 1935, pp. 229 and 233); inner edges of the third and fourth toes show almost as much denticulation as the outside edges. Total length of this exceptionally large $\sigma^{7}, 233(94+139) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Phrynocephalus euptilopus Alcock \& Finn

Phrynocephalus euptilopus Alcock \& Finn, 1896, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 65, p. 556: Darband, at 3,000 feet, Baluchistan, India.

> \& cotype (M. C. Z. 7227) Afghan-Baluchistan frontier (F. P. Maynard \& A. H. MacMahon) 1908.

Theblackspotson the head are symmetrically and elegantly arranged; there is a single black bar underneath the tail, anterior to the black tip; the tail length is not commonly less than that of the body. Total length of $\%, 94(48+46) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Phrynocephalus luteoguttatus Boulenger

Phrynocephalus luteoguttatus Boulenger, 1887, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., 3, p. 497: between Nushki and the Helmand River, Afghan-Baluchistan frontier.
\& (M. C. Z. 7228) Baluchistan (F. P. Maynard \& A. H. MacMahon) 1908.

Total length of 우, $73(37+36) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Uromastix hardwickii Gray

Uromastix hardwickii Gray, 1827, Zool. Journ., 3, p. 219: Kanauj District, United Provinces, India.

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 \sigma^{7} \sigma^{7}, 3 & \text { o }
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \text { o (M. C. Z. 2530) Kulu Valley (M. M. Carleton) } 1870 . \\
& \\
& \sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 6839) No locality (T. Barbour) } 1903 . \\
& \\
& ? \text { o (M C Z 7230) Karachi (Karachi Mus.) } 1908 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Femoral pores 12-16; the Kulu Valley series conform to the typical variety (longest specimen $217+84 \mathrm{~mm}$.) with only a few enlarged scales, while the other two lizards have many enlarged dorsal scales and are larger. Total length of $0^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 6839), $400(234+166) \mathrm{mm}$.

## CHAMAELEONIDAE

## Chamaeleo zeylanicus Laurenti

Chamaeleo zeylanicus Laurenti, 1768, Syn. Rept., p. 46: based on Seba, 1735, Thesauri, 1, pl. lxxxii, fig. 3: Type locality unknown.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ? } \sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 7950) Western Ghats near Bombay (T. Barbour) } \\
& \text { 1912. } \\
& \text { o (M. C. Z. 38598) Bangalore (Indian Mus.) } 1935 . \\
& \text { o (M. C. Z. 38599) Madras (Indian Mus.) 1935. } \\
& \text { o (M. C. Z. 39895) Teynampet, Madras (Madras Mus.) } 1936 . \\
& \sigma^{7} \sigma^{7}, \begin{array}{l}
\text { o (M. C. Z. 39896-8) Madras (Madras Mus.) 1936. }
\end{array} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The generic name Chamaeleo Laurenti, 1768, is used in preference to Chamaeleon Gronow, allegedly 1763, because of Opinion 89 of the International Rules of Zoological Nomenclature which "declared eliminated from consideration as respects their systematic names as of their respective dates: Gronow 1763, . . ."

Both M. C. Z. 38598-9 are gravid, the former with 12 slightly developed ova, the latter with 17 eggs each about 11 mm . in diameter. Total length of + (M. C. Z. 38598), $360(165+195) \mathrm{mm}$.

## SCINCIDAE

## Mabuya bibronil (Gray)

Tiliqua bibronii Gray, 1838, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., 2, p. 290: Type locality unknown.

1 (M. C. Z. 3925) Madras (R. H. Beddome) 1876.
1 (M. C. Z. 7170) Temple of Rameswaran, Pamban Island, Madras. (N. Annandale) 1908.

Midbody scale-rows 28-30; lamellae under fourth toe 18 in M. C. Z. 3925 while M. C. Z. 7170 had lost all its claws in life since the stumps are completely healed. Total length of M. C. Z. 7170, $110(47+63) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Mabuya macularia (Blyth)

Euprepes macularius Blyth, 1853, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 22, p. 652: ?Rangpur, Bengal, India.

6 (M. C. Z. 3926) Madras (R. H. Beddome) 1876.
1 (M. C. Z. 7173) Ellora, Hyderabad (W. T. Blanford) 1908.

Midbody scale-rows 28-30; lamellae under fourth toe 14-16. In coloration the Madras series mostly conform to typical South Indian skinks corresponding to Form 1 of M. A. Smith (1935, p. 265) though distinct lateral stripes are lacking in two of them which consequently approach Form 2 characteristic of North India. The Ellora specimen, though faded, apparently agrees with Form 2. Total length of M. C. Z. $3926,147(65+82) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Mabuya carinata (Schneider)

Scincus carinatus Schneider (part), 1801, Hist. Amphib., 2, p. 183: Type locality unknown.

1 (M. C. Z. 3919) Madras (R. H. Beddome) 1876.
1 (M. C. Z. 7172) Botanical Gardens, Sibpur, Bengal (J. Anderson) 1908.

2 (M. C. Z. 7660) Calcutta (T. Barbour) 1907.
Midbody scale-rows $30-32$; lamellae under fourth toe $15-17$; both the Barbour specimens are atypical in having the prefrontals definitely separated. Total length of M. C. Z. 7660, $211(85+126) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Mabuya multifasciata multifasciata (Kuhl)

Scincus multifasciatus Kuhl, 1820, Beitr. Zool. Vergl. Anat., p. 126: Type locality unknown.

1 (M. C. Z. 3118) Calcutta (W. Theobald) 1864.
Midbody scale-rows 30 ; lamellae under fourth toe $16-17$. Total length, $259(100+159) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Mabuya beddomil (Jerdon)

Euprepes beddomii Jerdon, 1870, Proc. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, p. 73: Mysore, India.

1 (M. C. Z. 7171) Berar (J. Anderson) 1908.
Midbody scale-rows 30; lamellae under fourth toe 13-15. Total length, $121(52+69) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Mabuya trivittata (Hardwicke \& Gray)

Tiliqua trivittata Hardwicke \& Gray, 1827, Zool. Journ., 3, p. 227, and 1829, Illus. Indian Zool., 2, pl. Ixxvi: Dum-Dum, Bengal, India.

1 (M. C. Z. 8362) 70 miles s. w. of Ambala (M. M. Carleton) 1879.
Midbody scale-rows 36, the dorsals feebly tricarinate (instead of with 5 or 7 strong keels); lamellae under fourth toe 14-15. Total length, $140(60+80) \mathrm{mm}$.

Lygosoma (Sphenomorphus) indicum indicum (Gray)
Hinulia indica Gray, 1853, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (2), 12, p. 388: Himalayas, India.

1 (M. C. Z. 7176) Darjeeling (J. Gammie) 1908.
Midbody scale rows 35 ; lamellae under fourth toe 19 . Total length, $179(85+94) \mathrm{mm}$., but tail regenerated.

Lygosoma (Sphenomorphus) maculatum (Blyth)
Lissonota maculata Blyth, 1853, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 22, p. 653: Assam, India.

1 (M. C. Z. 7177) Darjeeling (J. Gammie) 1908.
Midbody scale-rows 40 ; lamellae under fourth toe 18 . Total length, $141(56+85) \mathrm{mm}$.

Lygosoma (Sphenomorphus) dussumeri Duméril \& Bibron Lygosoma dussumieri Duméril \& Bibron, 1839, Erpét. Gén., 5, p. 725: Malabar, India.

$$
2 \text { (M. C. Z. 3924) Madras (R. H. Beddome) } 1876 .
$$

Midbody scale-rows 40, the dorsals considerably larger than the laterals thus approaching the condition of $L$. (S.) maculatum; lamellae under fourth toe 21-22. Total length, $131(50+81) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Lygosoma (Leiolopisma) reevesii reevesil (Gray)

Tiliqua reevesii Gray, 1838, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., 2, p. 292: China.

1 (M. C. Z. 3119) Calcutta (W. Theobald) 1866.

Midbody scale-rows 22 ; lamellae under fourth toe $18-19$. This skink, apparently the first of its species to be recorded from India proper, differs in some respects from the description of the typical form given by M. A. Snith (1935, p. 296); the distance between the end of the snout and the forelimb is contained once and a quarter (not once and a half to nearly twice) in the distance between the axilla and groin; the limbs are rather longer, the adpressed hindlimb reaching practically to the elbow of the forelimb (not just failing to meet or reaching as far as the wrist). In coloration the black stripe along the upper half of the flank is broken up to form a row of dark splotches. This specimen was identified as Lygosoma (Sphenomorphus) maculatus by Theobald, consequently reevesii was omitted from his 1876, Descriptive Catalogue of the Reptiles of British India. Total length, 106 $(43+63) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Lygosoma (Leiolopisma) himalayanum (Günther)

Eumeces himalayanus Günther, 1864, Rept. Brit. India, p. 86, pl. x, fig. H: Western Himalayas, India.

1 (M. C. Z. 3154) Ambala (M. M. Carleton) 1873.
9 (M. C. Z. 3240-1, 3247, 3249, 4064) Kulu Valley (M. M. Carleton) $1871 \& 1876$.

Midbody scale-rows 26-30 (32 in M. C. Z. 3249); lamellae under fourth toe 14-17 (19-20 in M. C. Z. 3249). Three lizards in this series are of especial interest in being intermediate between $L$. ( $L$ ) himalayanum and L. (L) ladacensc. M. C. Z. 3154 and one of the M. C. Z. 3240 series are typically himalayanum except in that the distance between the tip of the snout and the axilla is not contained $11 / 2-13 / 4$ times in the distance from axilla to groin as in himalayamum, but $11 / 3-11 / 2$ times as in ladacense, with which they also agree in the adpressed hindlimb reaching the wrist instead of failing to meet or just overlapping as in himalayanum.

Additional intermediate characters are displayed by M. C. Z. 3249 in which the prefrontals are just in contact, a condition normal in ladacense but rare in himalayanum; its midbody scale-rows are 32 (26-30 in himalayanum, 32-38 in ladacense) while the lamellae under
the fourth toe number 19-20 (14-20 in himalayanum, 20-24 in ladacense). These three aberrant skinks, especially M. C. Z. 3249, seem similar to the types of $L$. ( $L$ ) blythi as described by M. A. Smith (1935, p. 300) who refers them to the synonomy of himalayanum and further suggest that perhaps ladaconse should be only subspecifically distinguished from himalayanum.

With the Kulu lizards is a rather illegible manuscript note by the collector stating that they were collected near Ploch (?) village in the eastern part of Kulu Valley in August, 1871. Total length of M. C. Z. 3247, $147(71+76) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Ligosoma (Leiolopisma) himalayanum tragbulense Alcock

Lygosoma himalayanum var. tragbulense Alcock, 1898, Rep. Nat. Hist. Results Pamir Bound. Comm., p. 36, pl. ii: Tragbul (as Tragbal) Pass, Kashmir, India.

## paratype (M. C. Z. 7181) Tragbul Pass, Gilgit Road (G. M. Giles) 1908.

I have been unable to find the Tragbul Pass on any map, but the Royal Geographical Society informs me that it lies in Lat. $34^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$., L.ong. $7440^{\prime}$ E., 30 miles N.N.W. of Srinagar, and is therefore in Kashmir, rather than Afghanistan, so falls within the area covered by this report though this subspecies is not mentioned in Dr. Malcolm Smith's volume on the lizards of British India (1935).

Midbody scale rows 26; lamellae under fourth toe 18-19 (21 in the original description). In coloration our paratype of this rare skink conforms perfectly to Alcock's illustration, showing 6 very clearly defined, longitudinal, dorsal, whitish stripes and the flanks flecked with whitish. Alcock apparently included these lateral markings as stripes for he describes the types as having 10 or 11 dorsal stripes. Total length $110(50+60) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Lygosona (Leiolopisma) ladacense (Günther)

Eumeces ladacensis Günther, 1864, Rept. Brit. India, p. 88, pl. x, fig. 1: Ladakh. Kashmir, India.

$$
\text { topotype (M. C. Z. 7182) Kharu, Ladakh (F. Stoliczka) } 1908 .
$$

Midbody scale-rows 36 ; lamellae under fourth toe 20 . Total length, $134(49+85) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Lygosoma (Leiolopisma) sikkimense (Blyth)

Mocoa sikkimensis Blyth, 1853, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 22, p. 652: Sikkim. India.

1 (M. C. Z. 7179) Saoreni, near Darjeeling (A. W. Alcock) 1908.
Midbody scale-rows 24 ; lamellae under fourth toe 15 . Total length, $98(48+50) \mathrm{mm}$., but tail regenerated.

Lygosoma (Leiolopisma) travancoricum (Beddome)
Mocoa travancorica Beddome (part), 1870, Madras Month. Journ. Med. Sci., p. 34: Travancore Hills, India.

1 (M. C. Z. 6216) Anaimalai Hills, at 4,700 feet (Brit. Mus.) 1888.
Midbody scale-rows 24 ; lamellae under fourth toe 18-19. Total length, $153(54+99) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Lygosoma (Leiolopisma) bilineatum bilineatum (Gray)

Mocoa bilineatum Gray, 1846, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., 18, p. 430: Nilgiri Hills, India.

> 2 topotypes (M. C. Z. 4130) Nilgiri Hills (E. Gerrard) 1879.
> 1 (M. C. Z. 7183 ) No locality (R. H. Beddome) 1908.

Midbody scale-rows 24; lamellae under fourth toe 17-19; distance between end of snout and forelimb is contained scarcely one and one-third times in the distance between axilla and groin in the juvenile topotype (M. C. Z. 4130) instead of the onc and a half to twice usual in this subspecies (Smith, 1935, p. 306). My reasons for employing trinomials are explained below. Total length of M. C. Z. 7183, $135(50+85) \mathrm{mm}$.

Lygosoma (Leiolopisma) bilineatum laterimaculatum Boulenger
Lygosoma laterimaculatum Boulenger, 1887, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., 3, p. 260, pl. xviii, fig. 2: Sivagiri Ghat, Tinnevelly, India.

6 (M. C. Z. 3923, 4782) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D.

All six lizards are more or less intermediate between $L$. (L.) bilineatum and $L$. ( $L$ ) laterimaculatum as defined by Malcolm Smith (1935, pp. 305-6), but I consider them closer to laterimaculatum since they agree with it in the important characters of midbody scale-rows and coloration. A tabular exposition of the characters follows:

| Character | bilineatum as in Smith | laterimaculatum as in Smith | M. C. Z. material |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Midbody scale-rows | 22-26 | 26-28 | 26-28 |
| Times the distance between end of snout and forelimb is contained in that from axilla to groin | 11,2-2 | $11 / 8-11 / 3$ | 113-13/3 |
| Lobules on earopening | 2 or 3 very small ones. | sometimes a few granules but no lobules. | always a few granules, usually a few very small lobules. |
| Preanals | 4 large ones longer than broad. | 2 large ones broader than long. | 2 large ones and 2 slightly enlarged, most broader than long, a few longer than broad. |
| Adpressed limbs | overlap in the young, fail to meet in adult. | leg reaches to wrist or elbow. | fail to meet in two, just meet in two, leg nearly reaches wrist in two. |
| Lamellae under fourth toe | 16-20 | 20-25 | 17-21 <br> (21 in one skink only) |
| Color | sides not spotted «ith black. | sides spotted with black. | sides spotted with black. |
| Size: snout to vent | 65 mm . | 36 mm . | $30,46,50,52,56, \& 69 \mathrm{~mm}$. |

Thus of the eight diagnostic characters listed, our specimens tend to agree with bilineatum in four, with laterimaculatum in two, and to be intermediate in two. As the relationship appears to be subspecific rather than specific I employ trinomials. Total length of M. C. Z $3923,183(69+114) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Riopa punctata (Gmelin)

Scincus punctatus Gmelin, 1799, Hist. Amphib., p. 197, based on Seba, 1735, Thesauri, 1, pl. xii, fig. 6: Type locality unknown.

> 15 (M. C. Z. 3238,3748 ) 70 miles s. w. of Ambala (M. M. Carleton) 1872-4.
> 3 (M. C. Z. 3243 ) Bengal (M. M. Carleton) 1872.
> 13 (M. C. Z. 3928 ) Madras (R. H. Beddome) 1876.
> 1 (M. C. Z. 7184) Kolassy, Purnea (J. Anderson) 1508.

Midbody scale-rows 24-26; dorsal scales between the parietals and an imaginary line joining the hind limbs posteriorly $69-76$ with 66
in one example; lamellae under fourth toe 11-15 (11-14 given by M. A. Smith, 1935, p. 318); upper labials 6 , fourth longest and beneath the eye in one Ambala skink and on one side only of another, otherwise the normal 7 upper labials with the fifth longest as described by M. A. Smith.

In the eight youngest skinks (from all localities) the body is less elongated than in the adult, the distance between the end of the snout and the forelimb being contained in the distance between the axilla and groin less than twice (twice to two and three quarter times being normal). Total length of M. C. Z. 3928, $142(68+74) \mathrm{mm}$.
M. C. Z. 7184 was received as R. albopunctata (Gray) and agrees with Dr. Smith's (1935, p. 316) description of that species in having a scaly lower eyelid. Since, however, this character appears variable in Riopa, and the other features distinguishing albopunctata from R. punctata are rather trivial, I doubt whether albopunctata should be considered as distinct.

## Riopa guentheri (Peters).

Eumeces guentheri Peters, 1879, Sitzb. Ges. Naturf. Freunde Berlin, p. 36: East India as "Ostindien."

> 1 (M. C. Z. 4131) S. Kanara Ghats, Bombay (E. Gerrard) 1877.
> 1 (M. C. Z. 7186) Travancore (R. H. Beddome) 1908.

Midbody scale-rows 24-26; dorsal scales between the parietals and an imaginary line joining the hind limbs posteriorly $90-91$; lamellae under fourth toe 12. Total length of M. C. Z. 7186, 172 ( $100+72$ ) mm., but tail regenerated.

## Ristella travancorica (Beddome)

Ateuchosaurus travancoricus Beddome (part), 1870, Madras Month. Journ. Med. Sci., p. 33: Western Ghats, India.

$$
1 \text { (M. C. Z. 7190) Tinnevelly Hills (R. H. Beddome) } 1908 .
$$

Midbody scale-rows 24 ; throat handsomely marked with 9 rows of almost confluent, dark brown spots. Total length $76^{+}\left(42+34^{+}\right) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Ristella beddomii Boulenger

Ristella beddomii Boulenger, 1887, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., 3, p. 359, pl. xxix, fig. 4, and 1890, Fauna Brit. India, p. 216: Southwest India.

2 topotypes (M. C. Z. 3921) Southwest India (R. H. Beddome) 1876.

Midbody scale-rows 26 ; the adpressed limbs fail to meet in these young examples. It seems probable that these topotypes were originally part of the series collected by Col. Beddome of which some became the types of Boulenger's new species. Total length of larger lizard, $45^{+}\left(35+10^{+}\right) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Eumeces taeniolatus (Blyth)

Eurylepis taeniolatus Blyth, 1854, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 23, p. 470: Salt Range, Punjab, India.

2 (M. C. Z. 4370, 4493) near Ambala "(M. M. Carleton) 1878 \& 1880.
1 (M. C. Z. 7192) Karachi (Indian Mus.) 1908.
Midbody scale-rows $19-21,19$ in M. C. Z. 4370 appears to be unusual as $21-23$ is the range given by M. A. Smith (1935, p. 342); dorsal scales in longitudinal series $72-74$. Total length of M. C. Z. $4370,268^{+}\left(132+136^{+}\right) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Ophiomorus tridactylus (Blyth)

Sphenocephalus tridactylus Blyth, 1853, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 22, p. 654: Afghanistan.

1 (M. C. Z. 7193) Baluchistan (F. P. Maynard \& A. H. MacMahon) 1908.

Midbody scale-rows 20 instead of 22, a condition otherwise known only from a single Punjab example according to Dr. Smith (1935, p. 347$)$. Total length, $140(91+49) \mathrm{mm}$.

## LACERTIDAE

Acanthodactylus cantoris cantoris Günther
Acanthodactylus cantoris Günther, 1864, Rept. Brit. India, p. 73: Ramnagar, India.

우 (M. C. Z. 7159) Karachi (Karachi Mus.) 1908.
Midbody dorsals in 31 longitudinal rows, enlarged dorsals in 16; ventrals in 12; transverse rows of ventrals 30 ; transverse rows of gulars 30 ; femoral pores 18 on each side. Total length of 우, 178 $(66+112) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Cabrita leschenaultii (Milne-Edwards)

Lacerta leschenaultii Milne-Edwards, 1829, Ann. Sci. Nat. Paris, 16, pp. 80, 86, pl. vi, fig. 9: Coromandel Coast, India.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0^{7}, ~ \circ, \text { juv. (M. C. Z. 3922) Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D. } \\
& \text { juv. (M. C. Z. 4137) Ganjam (E. Gerrard) } 1877 . \\
& \text { juv. (M. C. Z. } 7161 \text { ) Ellora (W. T. Blanford) } 1908 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 40-54 (42-50 is given for this species by M. A. Smith, 1935, p. 375); transverse rows of ventrals 24-28; femoral pores 12-16 on each side; one lizard (M. C. Z. 4137) shows the unusual condition of having the fourth (rather than the fifth) upper labial enlarged and below the eye. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 149(49+100) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Ophisops Jerdoni (Blyth)

Ophiops jerdoni Blyth, 1853, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 22, p. 653: Mhow, Indore, Central Provinces, India.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { or (M. C. Z. 7160) Agra (P. Hodgart) } 1908 . \\
& \text { juv. (M. C. Z. 7162) Cutch (Indian Mus.) } 1908 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 28-30; transverse rows of ventrals 24 ; femoral pores $8-10$ on each side; one lizard (M. C. Z. 7160) is unusual in lacking any properly developed tympanic shield, commonly present in this species. Total length of $\mathrm{o}^{7}, 103(40+63) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Ophisops microlepis (Blanford)

Ophiops (Gymnops) microlepis Blanford, 1870, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 39, p. 351, pl. xv, figs. 1-5: Korba, Bilaspur, Central Provinces, India.
$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 7163) Cutch (Indian Mus.) 1908.
Midbody scale-rows 59 ; transverse rows of ventrals 25 ; femoral pores 12 on each side. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 156(55+101) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Eremias velox persica Blanford

Eremias persica Blanford, 1874, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (4), 14, p. 370: near Ispahan, Persia.

$$
\text { 甲 (M. C. Z. 7165) Quetta, Baluchistan (Col. St. John) } 1908 .
$$

Midbody dorsals 58 ; midbody ventrals 14 ; transverse rows of ventrals 30 ; transverse rows of gulars 32 ; femoral pores 18 on each side; the 8 th (instead of the 7 th) upper labial is below the eye on one side. Total length of $\circ, 203(80+123) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Eremias aporosceles (Alcock \& Finn)

Scapteira aporosceles Alcock \& Finn, 1896, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 65, p. 559, pl. xiii: West of Robat 1, near Nushki, Baluchistan, India.
$\sigma^{7}$ cotype (M. C. Z. 7169) West of Robat 1 (F. P. Maynard \& A. H.
MacMahon) 1895.

Midbody dorsals 76 ; midbody ventrals 20 ; transverse rows of ventrals 36 ; transverse rows of gulars 33 ; femoral pores absent. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 165(63+102) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Eremias guttulata watsonana Stoliczka

Eremias (Mesalina) watsonana Stoliczka, 1872, Proc. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, p. 86: between Karachi and Sukkur, Sind, India.
© (M. C. Z. 7164) Gwadar, Baluchistan (W. T. Blanford) 1872.
Midbody dorsals 47 ; midbody ventrals 8 ; transverse rows of ventrals 32 ; transverse rows of gulars 24 ; femoral pores 11 on each side. Total length of $\circ, 102(41+61) \mathrm{mm}$.

## ANGUIDAE

## Ophisaurus gracilis (Gray)

Pseudopus gracilis Gray, 1845, Cat. Liz. Brit. Mus., p. 56: Khasi Hills, Assam, India.
topotype (M. C. Z. 7231) Cherrapunji, Khasi Hills (Capt. GodwinAusten) 1908.

Midbody dorsals 14; transverse rows of dorsals counted in the length of the dorsal fold 92 ; midbody ventrals 10 . The coloration is unusual in that the dark lateral band is almost lacking except on the tail where it is indistinct; the transverse rows of dark spots are more or less fused to form 20 crossbars on the body, each covering from

7-10 dorsal scales; the tail shows the more common dark spots or splotches. Total length, $274(139+135) \mathrm{mm}$, but the tail is regenerated.

## VARANIDAE

## Varanus bengalensis bengalensis (Daudin)

Tupinambis bengalensis Daudin, 1802, Hist. Nat. Rept., 3, p. 67: Bengal, India.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { juv. (M. C. Z. 2119) Bengal (Paris Mus.) } 1865 \text {. } \\
& \text { juv. (M. C. Z. 3199) Northern India (M. M. Carleton) } 1873 \text {. } \\
& 2 \text { skins (M. C. Z. } 3231-2) \text { Bengal (M. M. Carleton) 1871. } \\
& 3 \text { juv. (M. C. Z. 3745, 8307-8) near Ambala (M. M. Carleton) } \\
& \text { 1873-4. } \\
& \text { juv. (M. C. Z. 4127) Malabar (E. Gerrard) } 1877 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Transverse rows of abdominal scales from gular fold to anus 122-136. Total length of largest skin (M. C. Z. 3232), $760(420+340)$ mm .

In a manuscript note accompanying the Ambala specimens the collector states that these monitors "live in old trees and are found during the cold season in the hollows of the trees and branches. It attains the size of 36 inches or more. It is the great enemy of birds that build their nests in trees."

For the nomenclature of this species I have followed Robert Mertens, 1942, "Die Familie der Warane (Varanidae), III. Taxonomie." in Abhand. Senckenberg. Naturf. Ges., No. 466, pp. 237-391.

## TYPHLOPIDAE

## Typhlops loveridgei spec. nov.

Type. Museum of Comparative Zoölogy, No. 2283, probably from North India since received from the Rev. M. M. Carleton in 1873. Most of Carleton's collections came from Ambala or the Kulu Valley, Punjab, but this snake was donated with the poorly localized T. d. diardi listed below.

Diagnosis. Differs from T. floweri Boulenger, of Siam, in that the preocular is as broad as (not narrower than) the ocular, which is in contact with the third and fourth (not third only) labials; it also lacks the small semi-subocular of floweri which in that species sepa-
rates the ocular from the fourth labial. It further differs from floweri in range and color.

Differs from T. porrecta Stoliczka in having the preocular in contact with the third (not second and third) labial; nasal completely (not incompletely) divided; diameter of body 83 (not 49 to 60) times into the total length.

Description. Snout rounded, prominent; rostral breadth about two-fifths the width of the head, not extending to an imaginary line connecting the oculars; nostrils lateral; nasals separated behind the rostral, completely divided, the cleft proceeding from the preocular; the preocular as broad as the ocular and in contact with the third labial only; ocular in contact with the third and fourth labials; eye hidden; four upper labials. Midbody scale-rows 18. Diameter of body included 83 times in the total length. Tail ending in a point.

Color. Above, uniform light brown. Below, paler, especially around the mouth and anus.

Size. Total length of type, 208 mm .; head and body 204.5 mm .; tail 3.5 mm .; diameter at midbody 2.5 mm .

The following key can be used to distinguish the three forms:
Snout rounded; rostral one-third to one-half width of head; nasals separated behind rostral; midbody scale-rows 18 .

1. Preocular narrower than ocular, in contact with second and third labials, ocular in contact with third labial only, being separated from fourth by a small semisubocular; nasal completely divided; diameter of body 85 times in total length; range: Siam; color blackish with snout and anal region yellowish. floweri Preocular as broad as ocular; ocular in contact with third and fourth labials; color paler than in floweri.
2. Preoccular in contact with third labial only; nasal completely divided; diameter of body 83 times in total length; range: ? northern India.
loveridgei
Preocular in contact with second and third labials; nasal incompletely divided; diameter of body 49-60 times in total length; range: Himalayas and Burma south to Ceylon (fide Malcolm Smith, 1943, p. 46), . . . . porrecta Though approaching mira and ceylonica the new species is not closely related to either.

Remarks. I take very great pleasure in naming this new Typhlops after Mr. Arthur Loveridge in appreciation of his generous help and as a token of personal esteem.

## Typhlops porrecta Stoliczka

Typhlops porrectus Stoliczka, 1871, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 40, p. 426, pl. xxv, figs. 1-4: Bengal, India.

```
6 \text { (M. C. Z. 3135, 3142, 4066, 4802) Kulu Valley (M. M. Carleton)}
1874-6.
1 (M. C. Z. 3750) plains 70 miles s. w. of Ambala (M. M. Carleton)
1874.
```

Midbody scale-rows 18 ; rostral breadth one-third to one-half the width of head; nasals not quite in contact, semidivided, the cleft proceeding from the first labial; midbody diameters $3-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$., included in total lengths $49-55$ times. Total length of M. C. Z. $3135229(225.5+3.5) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Typhlops bramina (Daudin)

Eryx braminus Daudin, 1803, Hist. Nat. Rept., 7, p. 279: Vizagapatam, India.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { (M. C. Z. 2237) Malabar (Paris Mus.) } 1865 \text {. } \\
& 12 \text { (M. C. .. 3913-4) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) } 1876 . \\
& 2 \text { (M. C. Z. } 5229 \text { ) Madras Coast (H. A. Ward) } 1884 . \\
& 6 \text { (M. C. Z. } 5393,48775-9 \text { ) plains s. w. of Ambala (M. M. Carleton) } \\
& \text { 1879. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 20 ; rostral breadth one-quarter to one-third the width of head; nasals separated and divided, the cleft in contact with preocular except in M. C. Z. 3913, 3913A, and 5229A, where it reaches the second labial; midbody diameters $2-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$., included in total lengths $35-55$ times. Total length of M. C. Z. 5229A, 169 $(166+3) \mathrm{mm}$. The poorly preserved Malabar specimen has been excluded from examination.

Malcolm Smith (1943, p. 45) separates bramina and T. psammeces as follows:

Diameter of body 30-45 times into total length; transverse scale-rows on body 290-320 bramina
Diameter of body 55-75 times into total length; trans-
verse scale-rows on body 370-400.
psammeces In the matter of diameter into length our series is clearly referable to bramina, but the transverse scale-rows range from 270-382 (approximately $270,298,299,300,306,309,312,315,316,320,323,342,382$ in the Madras series alone) suggesting that this character is without significance for the separation of psammeces.

## Typhlops diardi diardi Schlegel

Typhlops diardi Schlegel, 1839, Abbild. Amphib., p. 39: Indes Orientales.
2 (M. C. Z. 2284) North India (M. M. Carleton) 1873.
Midbody scale-rows 24-25; rostral breadth about one-third the width of the head; nasals not in contact, semidivided, the cleft proceeding from the second labial; midbody diameters $9-12 \mathrm{~mm}$., included in total lengths 28-30 times. Total length of larger snake, 376 $(370+6) \mathrm{mm}$.

Most of the Rev. M. M. Carleton's specimens were carefully labeled and came from Ambala or the Kulu Valley region. These Punjab localities are far removed from Bengal, which is given by Smith as the most westerly point in the range of either form of diardi.

## Typhlops beddomit Boulenger

Typhlops beddomii Boulenger, 1890, Fauna Brit. India, Rept. Batr., p. 237:
Hills of the Indian Peninsula between 2,000 and 5,000 feet.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \text { (M. C. Z. 3929) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D. } \\
& \text { cotype (M. C. Z. 22372) Travancore Hills at } 4,000 \text { feet (R. H. Bed- } \\
& \text { dome) N. D. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 18; rostral breadth one-third the width of the head; nasals broadly in contact, semidivided, the cleft proceeding from the second labial, separating the anterior nasal from the preocular; midbody diameters $3-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$., included in total lengths $31-33$ times. Total length of cotype, $110(106+4) \mathrm{mm}$.

This cotype of beddomii (not beddomei as given by Smith, 1943, pp. vii, 45,54 , etc.) was received in exchange from the British Museum in 1926. The two received direct from Beddome were entered as from "Madras," a blanket locality with little significance.

## Typhlops acuta (Duméril \& Bibron)

Onychocephalus acutus Duméril \& Bibron, 1844, Erpét. Gén., 6, p. 333: Type locality unknown.

1 (M. C. Z. 3849) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) 1876.
1 (M. C. Z. 18033) Taliparamba, Madras (F. Wall) 1923.
Midbody scale-rows $30-32$; rostral, breadth five-eighths to threequarters the width of head, hooked; nasals widely separated, semi-
divided, the cleft proceeding from the second labial; midbody diameters 4-4.5 mm., included in total lengths 43-44 times. Total length of M. C. Z. 18033, $198(195+3) \mathrm{mm}$.

## LEPTOTYPHLOPIDAE

## Leptotyphlops blanfordil (Boulenger)

Glauconia blanfordii Boulenger, 1890, Fauna Brit. India, Rept. Batr., p. 243, fig. 72: Sind, India.
Glauconia carltoni Barbour, 1908, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool., 51, p. 316: (70 miles s.w. of) Ambala, Punjab, India.

> Type \& 2 paratypes of carltoni (M. C. Z. 3749,3217$) 70$ miles s. w. of Ambala (M. M. Carleton) $1864 \&$  1874.

Midbody scale-rows 18 ; snout rounded; rostral breadth one-third the width of head; nasal completely divided, bordering the lip; ocular also bordering the lip; midbody diameters $2-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$., included in total lengths 71-81 times. Total length of largest snake (a paratype), $179(164+15) \mathrm{mm}$., the type only a millimetre smaller.

Though the author of Glauconia carltoni spelled the specific name without an "e," the collector's name was really Carleton, as shown by his signature affixed to a manuscript field note preserved with M. C. Z. 4369.

Dr. Barbour, when describing carltoni, suggests that it may be a race of blandfordii differentiated by stouter form as shown by a diameter that is included " 55 times" in the total length. Before res ding this, however, both Mr. Loveridge and I had independently measured the type and found its diameter to be 2.5 mm ., and its length 173 or 179 mm ., giving a diameter into length of 71 times, for the paratypes 71-81, as against Boulenger's $60-80$ for the types of blanfordii. The range for the species should now read $60-81$ times, amending S nith's (1943, p. 61) description.

## UROPELTIDAE

Of this family Dr. Malcolm A. Smith (1944, p. 61) states that a constant characteristic is the absence of a loreal and the presence of four upper labials. Though usual, neither are constant, as will be
seen from the following records of M. C. Z. material. I am heartily in agreement with the statement (p. 63) that, owing to the extreme brevity of the Uropeltid tails, the short hemipenes are difficult to examine satisfactorily. Where no count is given in the following pages for loreal, preocular, postocular, or temporals, these shields are absent.

## Melanophidium wynandense (Beddome)

Plectrurus wynandensis Beddome, 1863, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 228: Wynaad Hills, 3,500 feet, Malabar District, India.

$$
\delta^{7} \text { topotype (M. C. Z. 24739) Wynaad Hills (Brit. Mus.) } 1927 .
$$

Midbody scale-rows 17; ventrals 174; anals 2; subcaudals 12; upper labials 4. Total length of $\delta^{7}, 397(385+12) \mathrm{mm}$.

Beddome's original spelling of the specific name was wynandensis, not wynaudensis as given by Smith (1943) on pp. vii, 65, 67, and 583.

## Platyplectrurus madurensis Beddome

Platyplectrurus madurensis Beddome, 1877, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 167: Palni Hills, India.

> 3 topotypes (M. C. Z. 18044-6) Shembaganur, Palni Hills (F. Wall) 1923.

Midbody scale-rows 15 ; ventrals $164-167$; anals 2 ; subcaudals 10-14; upper labials 4 ; postocular 1 ; temporal 1 . Total length of $\%$, (M. C. Z. 18043), $321(305+16) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Teretrurus sanguineus (Beddome)

Plectrurus sanguineus Beddome, 1867, Madras Quart. Journ. Med. Sci., 9, p. 14, pl. i, figs 1-2: Anaimalai Hills, India.

2 topotypes (M. C. Z. 6203) Anainalai Hills, at 4,700 feet (Brit. Mus.) 1888.
1 (M. C. Z. 47900) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D.
Midbody scale-rows 15 ; ventrals 129-144; anals 2; subcaudals 8-9; upper labials 4 ; postocular 1 ; temporal 1. Total length of $\%$ (M. C. Z. 6203), $201(194+7) \mathrm{mm}$.

The original description called for 17 scale-rows, but this has been attributed to a miscount.

## Teretrurus rhodogaster (Wall)

Brachyophidium rhodogaster Wall, 1921, Journ. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soe., 28, p. 41: Palni Hills, India.

> 7 topotypes (M. C. Z. 18070-6) Shembaganur, Palni Hills (F. Wall) 1923.

Midbody scale-rows 15; ventrals 133-141; anals 2; subcaudals $7-11$; upper labials 4 ; postocular 1 ; temporal 1. Total length of $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 18076), $195(185+10) \mathrm{mm}$.

These counts somewhat increase the ventral range. The snakes are part of a series of 8 specimens listed as paratypes by Barbour \& Loveridge (1929, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zoöl., 69, p. 229), but Wall had only a single of holotype (now in the British Museum) which he believed to have come from the Palni Hills.

## Plectrurus perroteti Duméril \& Bibron

Plectrurus perroteti Duméril \& Bibron, 1854, Erpét. Gén., 7, p. 167, pl. lix, fig. 4: Nilgiri Hills, India.

5 (M. C. Z. $3860,3867,3875$ (2), 3915) near Madras (R. H Beddome) N. D.
¢ topotype (M. C. Z. 4178) Nilgiri Hills (E. Gerrard) 1877.
3 (M. C. Z. 6202) Anaimalai Hills (Brit. Mus.) 1888.
Midbody scale-rows 15 ; ventrals $144-173$; anals 2 ; subcaudals $7-12$; upper labials 3-4 (3 on right side only of M. C. Z. 4178). Total length of 9 (M. С. Z. 4178), $282(270+12) \mathrm{mm}$.

These counts decrease the ventral range from the previous low of 152 of Malcolm Smith. Duméril \& Bibron state that some of their 15 to 20 cotypes were in the British Museum; whether our topotype, purchased from Gerrard, is one of this series seems very doubtful.

## Plectrubus canaricus (Beddome)

Silybura canarica Beddome, 1870, Madras Month. Journ. Med. Sci., 2, p. 170:
"Kudra Mukh" at 6,000 feet, India.
$\sigma^{7}$ topotype (M. C. Z. 24737) Kudremukh, at 6, 200 feet (Brit. Mus.)
1927.

Midbody scale-rows 15 ; ventrals 178 ; anals 2; subcaudals 12 ; upper labials 4. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 345(325+20) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Uropeltis nitidus (Beddome)

Silybura nitida Beddome, 1878, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 154: Anaimalai Hills, India.

$$
\sigma^{7} \sigma^{\top}, \circ \text { \& (M. C. Z. 47290-3) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D. }
$$

Midbody scale-rows 17 ; ventrals 197-224; anals 2; subcaudals 8-10; upper labials 4. Tail length included in head and body length $21.6-24.1$ times in males, $31.2-33.7$ times in females. Total length of larger o七 (M. C. Z. 47292 ), $328(315+13) \mathrm{mm}$; of larger 우 (M. C. 7. 47293), $278(270+8) \mathrm{mm}$. This species is discussed further with U. ocellatus.

## Uropeltis ocellatus (Beddome)

Silybura ocellata Beddome, 1863, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 226: Walaghat, Nilgiri Hills, India.

$$
\begin{gathered}
4 \sigma^{\circ} \sigma^{\circ}, \mp \% \text { (M. C. Z. 3857, 3872-3, 3884, 47288-9) near Madras } \\
\text { (R. H. Beddome) N. D. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 17; ventrals 186-195; anals 2; subcaudals 8-11; upper labials 4, except on right side of M. C. Z. 3884 where there are 5 . Tail length included in head and body length 19.5-21.5 times in males, 25.9-27.2 times in females. Total length of largest $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3872), 353.5 (338 + 15.5) mm.; of larger $\circ$ (M. C.Z. 3857), $254(245+9) \mathrm{mm}$.

Of the 22 species of Uropeltis recognized by Malcolm Smith (1944, $\mathrm{pp} .73-74$ ), ocellatus is the only one permitted so large a ventral range as 50 (185-234). Those of the others ranging from 8 in the little-known rubrolineatus to 35 in broughami. This suggests the possibility that ocellatus, as now understood, may contain a subspecies.

When Beddome (1863, p. 226) described ocellatus from the Nilgiri Hills, it was on the basis of a $\sigma^{7}, \%$, and young specimen. He gave the number of ventrals as 199, this would be the cotype later sexed as $q$ by Boulenger (1893, p. 150).

Later Beddome (1878, p. 154) described nitidus from the Anaimalai Hills on the basis of four specimens. He gave the ventrals as 188-194; recounted as 184-195 by Boulenger (1893, p. 152).

The same year Beddome (1878, p. 801) described both ochracea and dupeni from "Nelliamputty" in the Anaimalai Hills, from seven or more specimens. His combined ventral counts were 214-233, or 214-231 according to Boulenger (1893, pp. 150-151).

Boulenger (1893, p. 150) relegated the last two species to the synonymy of ocellatus, but it is interesting to note that his material falls into two groups. Snakes from the Nilgiri and Wynaad Hills having 196-199 ventrals and those from the Anaimalai Hills 214-231. The matter deserves further investigation.

A series of ten specimens labeled ocellatus were received at various times from Col. R. H. Beddome by the Museum of Comparative Zoölogy. These snakes were readily separable into two groups according to whether the tail was rounded above (ocellatus) or distinctly flattened and somewhat spatulate. One might have supposed this difference to be that of $\sigma^{7}$ and $\circ$ respectively were it not that dissection of every individual shows both sexes to be represented among the snakes of each type of tail, though one of the ocellatus females shows a slight approach to the spatulate condition.

This caudal difference was correlated with others which can best be set down in tabular form. Though the snout and eye differences between ocellatus and nitidus mentioned by Boulenger (vide infra) were not discernible, there seems little doubt that the spatulate tailed snakes are nitidus and so have been reregistered under that name. Apparently nitidus is otherwise still known only from Beddome's types unless, as seems possible, other examples have been called ocellatus.

Our material indicates the following differences between the two species in addition to the tail character already discussed:

| Characters, etc. | ocellatus | nitidus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of specimens | $4 \mathrm{cos}^{\text {or }} 2$ \% | $20^{7} 0^{4} 2$ |
| Ventrals in males | 186-189 | 197-206 |
| Ventrals in females | 192-195 | 206-224 |
| Length of rostral | between $1 / 4$ and $1 / 3$ the length of shielded part of head | $1 / 3$ the length of shielded part of head |
| Length of rostral | equals its distance and $1 / 4$ to $1 / 3$ the length of frontal | equals its distance and $1 / 2$ the length of frontal |
| Length of tail into | 19.5-21.5 times in $\sigma^{\top} \sigma^{\circ}$ | 21.6-24.1 times in $\sigma^{7} \sigma^{7}$ |
| length of head + body | 25.9-27.2 times in 아 아 | 31.2-33.7 times in 아 아 |
| Color above variable | but showing much yellow and ocelli very conspicuous | iridescent black, scarcely any yellow, ocelli hardly noticeable |
| Color below | variable but yellow blotches prominent, often predominant | black, uniform or with relatively small yellow blotches or crossbars |

Apart from color, the only differences between the two species that are cited by Boulenger (1893, pp. 150 and 152) are:
Character ocellatus nitidus

| Snout | pointed |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rostral | about $1 / 4$ the length of the <br> shielded part of head | obtusely pointed <br> about $1 / 3$ the length of the <br> shielded part of head |
| Eye | hardly $1 / 3$ length of ocular | not $1 / 2$ length of ocular |

## Uropeltis wood-masoni (Theobald)

Silybura wood-masoni Theobald, 1876, Cat. Rept. Brit. India, p. 135: Palni Hills, India.

> 4 topotypes (M. C. Z. 18039-42) Shembaganur, Palni Hills (F. Wall) 1923.

Midbody scale-rows 19 ; ventrals $157-171$; anals 2 ; subcaudals 6-9; upper labials 4. Total length of $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 18040), $214(205+9)$ mm., of o (M. C. Z. 18041), $218(211+7) \mathrm{mm}$.

These counts increase the ventral range from the previous low of 166 of Malcolm Smith.

## Uropeltis macrolepis (Peters)

Silybura macrolepis Peters, 1861, Serp. Fam. Uropelt., p. 904: Type locality unknown.

$$
\text { ¢ (M. C. Z. 28644) Matheran near Bombay (Brit. Mus.) } 1929 .
$$

Midbody scale-rows 15 ; ventrals 130 ; anals 2; subcaudals 8; upper labials 4. Total length of $\circ, 189(180+9) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Uropeltis ceylanicus Cuvier

Uropeltis ceylanicus Cuvier, 1829, Règne Anim., ed. 2, 2, p. 76: "Ceylon" in error.

5 (M. C. Z. 3852,3868 , 3916) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D.
Midbody scale-rows 17; ventrals $126-146$; anals 2; subcaudals $9-10$; upper labials 4 . Total length of $0^{\pi}$ (M. C. Z. 3868), $249(234+15) \mathrm{mm}$.

In this series the length of that portion of the rostral visible from above is little more than half the distance from the frontal in the largest and three smallest snakes (M. C. Z. 3852, 3868), but equal to its distance from the frontal in the second largest specimen (M. C. Z. 3916).

## Uropeltis arcticeps (Günther)

Silybura arcticeps Günther, 1875, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 229, fig. 1: Tinnevelly Hills, India.

$$
\text { 甲 (M. C. Z. 22389) Travancore Hills (Brit. Mus.) } 1926 .
$$

Midbody scale-rows 17; ventrals 148; anals 2; subcaudals 9; upper labials 4. Total length of $\circ, 287(271+16) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Uropeltis rubromaculatus (Beddome)

Silybura rubromaculata Beddome, 1867, Madras Quart. Journ. Med. Sci., 11, p. 15, pl. ii, fig. 3: Anaimalai Hills, India.
of topotype (M. C. Z. 6199) Anaimalai Hills (Brit. Mus.) 1888.
Midbody scale-rows 17; ventrals 127; anals 2; subcaudals 9; upper labials 4. Total length of $\circ, 287(272+15) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Uropeltis rubrolineatus (Günther)

Silybura rubrolineata Günther, 1875, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 228: Travancore Hills, India.
Silybura phipsonii Mason, 1888, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (6), 1, p. 184: Bombay Ghats, India.

12 (M. C. Z. 3850, 3880-1, 3911, 47034-40, 47101) Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D.
$\sigma^{\circ}$ (M. C. Z. 22381) India (Brit. Mus.) 1926.
3 (A. M. N. H. 46307-9) Panchgani, Satara District (C. McCann) 1930.

Midbody scale-rows 17; ventrals 136-167; anals 2; subcaudals $7-12$; upper labials 4. Tail length included in head and body length apparently 15-21 times in males, 22-25 times in females. Total length of largest or (M. C. Z. 22381), $267(255+12) \mathrm{mm}$.; of largest ㅇ (M. C. Z. 3850), $229(220+9) \mathrm{mm}$.

In his key to the genus Uropeltis, Malcolm Smith (1943, pp. 74, 82) separates rubrolineatus and phipsonii as follows:

Portion of rostral visible from above not or not much longer than its distance from the frontal; a broad yellow (red) stripe along each side of the body; ventrals 165-172; range: Western Ghats south of the Palghat Gap, Anaimalai and Travancore Hills . . . . . .rubrolineatus Portion of rostral visible from above distinctly longer than its distance from the frontal; a yellow streak along each side of the body in front; ventrals 138-157; range: Western Ghats from the Bombay Hills to the Anaimalai Hills .phipsonii

The question arose as to whether we are dealing with a northern race with less numerous ventrals and a southern race with more. Unfortunately this could not be settled by reference to M. C. Z. material as it was so poorly localized. However, the American Museum placed at my disposal a small series from Panchgani in the extreme northern part of the joint ranges. From the locality it might be expected that these Panchgani snakes would be referable to phipsonii, with which they certainly agree in coloration and number of ventrals (137-140). On the other hand in all three snakes the visible portion of the rostral is equal to its distance from the frontal and in one (A. M. N. H. 46308) the yellow lateral stripe is more or less continuous from head to anus.

This lateral stripe is present anteriorly on almost every snake in the Madras series, though almost indistinguishable in a few specimens. Yellow spots, especially prominent in one Panchgani reptile (A.M.N.H. 46309), are to be found on a few Madras snakes even if inconspicuous. There is considerable variation in the U-shaped marking on the subcaudals, but it is shown by all sixteen snakes.

The portion of rostral visible from above is equal to ( 7 examples), or longer than ( 5 examples), its distance from the frontal, in the Madras series whose ventrals range from 136-167. In view of the combined ventral range of rubrolineatus and phipsonii (136-172) being within that permitted for certain other members of the genus, it seems reasonable to assume that only one species is represented. Possibly with more material an average difference in scale counts may be demonstrated.

In so far as its rostral length is only a quarter that of the shielded portion of the head, M. C. Z. 3880 agrees with rubrolineatus, but M. C. Z. 3881, where it is between a quarter and a third, is an intermediate.

The eye diameter is less than half the length of the ocular shield i. e. rubrolineatus, in all but M. C. Z. 3880 and 47034.

In the Madras series, which, incidentally, were received as "beddomei Günther," the truncated portion of the tail is certainly large, and in most cases "flat," though some appear to be very slightly convex.

When M. C. Z. 22381, received as phipsonii from the British Museum in 1926 (apparently one of the specimens listed by Boulenger 1893, p. 155), was examined, it was found to agree with rubrolineatus in rostral length, but with phipsonii in the eye character and number of ventrals.

It would seem probable, therefore, that phipsonii is either a synonym of rubrolineatus or at most a northern race, for no constant structural characters have been found to separate them, and the U-shaped mark on the subcaudal region is present on the entire M. C. Z. series. Only in its paler brown hue (? faded) and larger size does the British Museum snake differ in coloration from the average darker colored Madras series.

## Uropeltis petersi (Beddome)

Silybura petersi Beddome, 1878, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 154: Anaimalai Hills, India.

> 4 topotypes (M. C. Z. 6201) Anaimalai Hills at 4,700 feet (Brit. Mus.) $$
1888 .
$$

Midbody scale-rows 17; ventrals $150-156$; anals 2; subcaudals $6-10$; upper labials 4 . Total length of $\mathrm{o}^{7}, 185(173+12) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Uropeltis pulneyensis (Beddome)

Plectrurus pulneyensis Beddome, 1863, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 228, pl. xxv, fig. 2: Palni Hills, India.

O (M. C. Z. 1335) Periyakulım, Madura District (D. C. Scudder) 1863.
4 topotypes (M. C. Z. 7773, 33506-7) Kodaikanal, Palni Hills (T. Kolbe) 1859.

4 (M. C. Z. 3870, 47041-3) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D.

Midbody scale-rows 17 ; ventrals $156-180$; anals 2 ; subcaudals $7-12$; upper labials 4 . Total length of 우 (M. C. Z. 1335), 354 $(342+12) \mathrm{mm}$.

Ventral counts of 156 and 159 bring Roux's (1928, p. 441) count of 154 within the range of probability.

## Uropeltis grandis (Beddome)

Rhinophis grandis Beddome, 1867, Madras Quart. Journ. Med. Sci., 11, p. 15. pl. ii, fig. 4: Anaimalai Hills, India.

3 topotypes (M. C. Z. 6200) Anaimalai Hills (Brit. Mus.) 1888.
Midbody scale-rows 19; ventrals 190-201; anals 2; subcaudals $6-12$; upper labials 4. Total length of $\delta^{\prime}, 388(370+18) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Rhinophis sanguineus Beddome

Rhinophis sanguineus Beddome, 1863, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 227: Cherambody, Malabar, India.

3 (M. C. Z. 3854A, 3854B, 3865) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D.
Midbody scale-rows 15; ventrals 188-194; anals 2; subcaudals $6-9$; upper labials 4. Total length of $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3865), $252(245+7)$ mm ., of larger $\ddagger$ (M. C. Z. 3854 A ), $458(445+13) \mathrm{mm}$.

## XENOPELTIDAE

## Xenopeltis unicolor Reinwardt

Xenopeltis unicolor Reinwardt, in Boie, 1827, Isis von Oken, p. 564: Java. $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3114) Calcutta (W. Theobald) 1866.
Midbody scale-rows 15 ; ventrals 183 ; anal 1 (?); subcaudals 26 ; upper labials $8(4,5)$; loreal 0 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $2+3$. Total length of $\delta^{2}, 895(810+85) \mathrm{mm}$.

Apparently this species has not been recorded from India before, therefore this old record should be accepted with reserve.

# BOIDAE 

## Python molurus molurus (Linnaeus)

Coluber molurus Linnaeus, 1758, Syst. Nat. ed. 10, 1, p. 225: India.

> 2 eggs (Exhibition) India (Percy Watson) N. D. Skeleton (M. C. Z. 4246) India (E. Gerrard) 1877. Skull (M. C. Z. 4278) India (E. Gerrard) 1877. ס' alc. (M. C. Z. 31475) Agra ("Snake King") 1931.

There are also three mounted specimens with inadequate data indirectly received from zoological gardens and a circus.

Midbody scale-rows 68-70; ventrals 253 ; anal 1; subcaudals 65 ; upper labials $12-13$, the 6 th (left) or 7 th (right) just entering the orbit; preoculars 2; postoculars 3; lance-shaped mark on crown indistinct anteriorly. Total length (M.C.Z. 31475), 1965 (1720 + 245) mm .

Deraniyagala (1945, Spolia Zeylanica, 24, pp. 103-105) has split P. molurus as recognized by M. A. Smith into three races. P.m. orbiculata is separated from P.m. molurus and P.m. pimbura by means of the shape of the dark lateral markings, but in M. C. Z. 31475 from Agra and a non-localized mounted example (M. C. Z. 46622) there is much variation in the nearly median lateral markings, which approximates to that illustrated by Deraniyagala for the alleged races. Deraniyagala then separates pimbura from molurus on the basis of subcaudal counts, for both midbody scale rows (63-69 and $62-72$ ) and ventrals (248-257 and 244-254), as given by him, overlap for most of their range. In the case of the subcaudals (57-65 for pimbura, 66-67 for molurus), the data, particularly for molurus based on only three examples, appears inadequate for the separation of races whose alleged differences in color pattern our series seems to indicate are of little value.

## Eryx conicus (Schneider)

Boa conica Schneider, 1801, Hist. Amphib., 2, p. 268: So. India.
$3 \sigma^{7} \sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3885) Madras, (R. H. Beddome) N. D.
o o (M. C. Z. 4181, 18380) India (A. Agassiz \& T. Barbour)
$\quad 1877 \& 1924$.

There is also an unlocalized mounted example from the New York Zoological Society.

Midbody scale-rows 44-50; ventrals $168-185$; anal 1 ; subcaudals 16-21; upper labials 12-15; mental groove absent; tail pointed. Total length of $\&($ M. C. Z. 18380), $680(640+40) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Eryx johnil johnir (Russell)

Boa johnii Russell, 1801, Ind. Serp., 2, pp. 18, 20, pls. xvi-xvii: Tranquebar, India.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \&, of (M. C. Z. 4211, 6675) India (A. Agassiz \& T. Barbour) } \\
& 1877 \& 1903 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 58-61; ventrals 200-201; anal 1; subcaudals 33-34; upper labials 10-11; mental groove present; tail blunt. Total length of $\sigma^{7}($ M. C. Z. 6675$), 755(670+85) \mathrm{mm}$.

Dr. O. G. Stull, who made an intensive study of the Boidae in American collections some years ago, informs me that she recognizes the northwestern race persicus Nikolski on the basis of the following characters:

Midbody scale-rows 47-56; ventrals 187-206; subcaudals
$26-37$; ventrals + caudals total $212-239 \ldots \ldots . . . . .$. . . . persicus
Midbody scale-rows $57-65$; ventrals 194-215; subcaudals
$27-40$; ventrals + caudals total $226-245 \ldots . . . . . . .$. . j. johnii

## Eryx johnit persicus Nikolski

Eryx persicus Nikolski, 1907 (1905), Ann. Mus. Zool. St. Petersburg, 10, p. 290, fig. 8: Aguliashker, Arabistan region, S. W. Persia.
\& (M. C. Z. 3764) within 100 miles of Ambala (M. M. Carleton) N. D.
Midbody scale-rows 53 ; ventrals 187; anal 1; subcaudals 33; upper labials 10. Total length of $\mathrm{q}, 518(460+58) \mathrm{mm}$.

Undoubtedly referable to $j$. persicus on the characters given by Dr. Stull, this snake from the extreme eastern Punjab extends the range considerably, for Malcolm Smith (1943, p. 114) includes the Punjab in the range of $j$. johnii and states that the typical form meets with j. persicus in Baluchistan and the Northwest Frontier Province.

## COLUBRIDAE

Elaphe helena (Daudin)
Coluber helena Daudin, 1803, Hist. Nat. Rept., 6, p. 277: Vizagapatam, India. $\sigma^{7}, ~ \circ \%$ (M. C. Z. 3895) Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D.

Midbody scale-rows 25; ventrals 231-254; anal 1; subcaudals 84-99; upper labials $9(5,6)$; loreal 1; preocular 1; postoculars 2; except on left side of one snake where only 1 is present; temporals usually $2+2$, also $2+3,2+4$, and $1+2$. Total length of $\sigma^{7}$, $397(315+82) \mathrm{mm}$.

Two of these southeast Indian specimensshow the white, black-edged, nuchal collar interrupted on the median line. This pattern M. A. Smith (1943, p. 150) considers restricted to the Western Ghats of Southern India. The color pattern of the remaining specimen is intermediate between this and the normal one, having two posteriorly converging or parallel black stripes on the neck.

## Elaphe hodgsonir (Günther)

Spilotes hodgsonii Günther, 1860, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 156, pl. xxvii: Nepal, India.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma^{77} \sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 3134, 3146) Kulu Valley (M. M. Carleton) } 1874 . \\
& 30^{7} o^{7}, \circ \text { (M. C. Z. 4488) near Ambala (M. M. Carleton) } 1878 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 23; ventrals 237-246; anals 2; subcaudals 87-91; upper labials $8(4,5)$; loreal 1 ; preocular 1 ; postocular 2 ; temporals $2+3$ on at least one side, with $2+2,2+4,3+3$, or $3+4$ on the other. Total length of $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3146), 1430 (1120 + 310) mm.

The feeble keeling of the scales in the ischiadic region, used in M. A. Smith's (1943, p. 142) key to the genus, is very faint indeed in the adult snake, and not to be found in the others since all are young.

## Elaphe cantoris (Boulenger)

Coluber cantoris Boulenger, 1894, Cat. Snakes Brit. Mus., 2, p. 35: Himalayas. India; Khasi and Garo Hills, Assam, India; Burma.

Cotype $\%$ (M. C. Z. 28646) Khasi Hills (Brit. Mus.) 1929.
Midbody scale-rows 17 ; ventrals 233; anals 2; subcaudals 69+; upper labials $8(4,5)$; loreal 1 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $2+3$. Total length of $\circ, 959(790+169) \mathrm{mm}$.

Here again the keeling is absent on the outer rows of ventrals and very faint on the remainder. This specimen is interesting in having a divided, instead of the usual single, anal.

## Ptyas mucosus (Linnaeus)

Coluber mucosus Linnaeus, 1758, Syst. Nat. ed. 10, 1, p. 226, and 1754, Mus. Ad. Frid., 1, p. 37, pl. xxiii: India.

> or (M. C. Z. 1329) Periyakulam, Madura District (D. C. Scudder) 1866.
$\sigma^{7} \sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 2193) Ganges (Paris Mus.) 1865.
$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3109) Calcutta (W. Theobald) 1866.
$\delta^{*}$ (M. C. Z. 3853) Madras (R. H. Beddome) 1876.
$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 4021) Kulu Valley (M. M. Carleton) 1877.
of (M. C. Z. 19572) Calcutta (T. Barbour) 1904.
Midbody scale-rows 17 ; ventrals $190-199$; anals 2 ; subcaudals 109-133; upper labials $8(4,5)$; loreals $2-4,4$ only on right side of M. C. Z. 2193; preocular 1; postoculars 2 ; temporals $2+2$ or $2+3$. Total length of $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 2193), $2290(1700+590) \mathrm{mm}$.
M. C. Z. 3109, received as Ptyas blumenbachii (Merrem), differs in having prominent dark keels on the more dorsally placed scales on the posterior part of the body, also in the absence of the characteristic dark cross-bars.

Coluber ventromaculatus Gray \& Hardwicke
Coluber ventromaculatus Gray \& Hardwicke, 1834, Illus. Indian Zool., 2, pl. 1xxx, fig. 1: Type locality unknown.
juv. (M. C. Z. 15819) Karachi, Sind (F. Wall) 1921.
Midbody scale-rows 19; ventrals 210; anals 2; subcaudals 104; upper labials $8(5,6)$; loreals 2 ; preoculars 2 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $2+2$ (not $2+3$ as in M. A. Smith, 1943, p. 168). Total length of juvenile, $308(232+76) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Coluber fasciolatus Shaw

Coluber fasciolatus Shaw, 1802, Gen. Zool., 3, p. 528: India.

$$
\sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 28645) Bangalore (Brit. Mus.) } 1929 .
$$

Midbody scale-rows 21; ventrals 192; anals 2; subcaudals S2; upper labials $7(4,5)$; loreal 1 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $2+3$. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 341(270+71) \mathrm{mm}$. This specimen is unusual in having seven instead of the normal 8 upper labials.

## Coluber diadema Schlegel

Coluber diadema Schlegel, 1837, Phys. Serp., 2, p. 148: near Bombay, India.

$$
\sigma^{7} \sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 3766, 9913) Ambala (M. M. Carleton) } 1874 .
$$

Midbody scale-rows 29 ; ventrals 243-247; anal 1; subcaudals 102-107; upper labials $10-11$, none entering orbit; loreals 2 ; preoculars 2 ; postoculars $2-3$; temporals $4+3,4+4$, or $4+5$. Total length of smaller $\sigma^{7}($ M. C. Z. 3766), $758(600+158) \mathrm{mm}$. The larger is skinned out.

The single anal is normal and " 2 " given by M. A. Smith (1943, p. 173) is evidently a misprint. Smith lists two "color forms" saying that the range of C.d. atriceps (Fischer) is much the same as that of $C$. d. diadema but less extensive. The pattern of the smaller male listed above corresponds to that of the typical form, that of the larger to atriceps. Under the circumstances it seems best to treat this snake binomially, at least pending the comprehensive revision forecast by Schmidt (1939, p. 77) who tentatively divides "diadema" into three or four species!

## Opheodrys calamaria (Günther)

Cyclophis calamaria Günther, 1858, Cat. Snakes Brit. Mus., p. 250: Ceylon.

$$
0^{7}, ~ \circ \uparrow \text { (M. C. Z. } 3844,3908 \text { ) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D. }
$$

Midbody scale-rows 15 ; ventrals $133-146$; anals 2 ; subcaudals $60-71$; upper labials $7(3,4)$; loreal 0 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $1+2$. Total length of $\uparrow$ (M. C. Z. 3844), $303(222+81)$ mm .

In as far as colour seems to be the only constant basis for separating Liopeltis from Ophcodrys (M. A. Smith, 1943, pp. 136, 177 and 182), I follow most American herpetologists in regarding Liopeltis as a synonym.

## Opheodrys rappil (Günther)

Ablabes rappii Günther, 1860, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 154, pl. xxvi, fig. B: Sikkim, India.
$\sigma^{7}, ~ ㅇ(1$ (M. C. Z. 3137, 3147) Kulu Valley (M. M. Carleton) N. D.
on $^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 4489) Ambala (M. M. Carleton) 1880.

Midbody scale-rows 15; ventrals 191-192; anals 2; subcaudals 61-65; upper labials $6(3,4)$ or $5(3,4)$ on right side of M. C. Z. 3147
only; loreal 1; preocular 1; postoculars 2; temporals $1+1$. Total length of $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3147), $533(422+111) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Oligodon cyclurus (Cantor)

Coronella cyclura Cantor, 1839, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 50: Type locality unknown.
juv. (M. C. Z. 2281) North India (M. M. Carleton) 1873.
Midbody scale rows 19; ventrals 168; anal 1; subcaudals 47; upper labials $8(4,5)$; loreal 1 ; preocular 1 ; subocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $2+2$. Coloration is that of form I of M. A. Smith (1943, pp. 202-204) except that the head markings are very well defined. Total length of juv., $177(150+27) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Oligodon albocinctus (Cantor)

Coronella albocincta Cantor, 1839, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 50: Cherrapunji, Assam, India.

$$
\nabla^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 22378) Himalayas (Brit. Mus.) } 1926 .
$$

Midbody scale-rows 21; ventrals 183 ; anal 1; subcaudals 62 ; upper labials $7(3,4)$; loreal 1 ; preocular 1; postoculars 2 ; temporals $1+2$. Coloration is that of form II of M. A. Smith (1943, p. 212). Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 730(580+150) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Oligodon theobaldi (Günther)

Simotes theobaldi Günther, 1868, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (4), 1, p. 417: Pegu, Burma.

$$
0^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 3910) Madras (R. H. Beddome) } 1876 .
$$

Midbody scale rows 17 ; ventrals 177 ; anals 2; subcaudals 47; upper labials $7(3,4)$; loreal 1; preoculars 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $1+2$. Total length of $\delta^{7}, 371(312+59) \mathrm{mm}$.

Malcolm Smith (1943, p. 220) includes Simotes beddomii Boulenger, whose type locality was the Wynaad District near Madras, under his synonymy of $O$. theobaldi but gives the range of theobaldi as Assam and Burma. M. C. Z. 3910, originally received as beddomii, is almost certainly from South India and appears indistinguishable from specimens of theobaldi from Burma, the only difference being that it shows
an undivided nasal while in Burmese examples the nasal is divided. This character was used by Boulenger (1890, pp. 310, 314-5) to distinguish the alleged species. If it fails to separate them subspecifically, it seems necessary to extend the range of theobaldi to include the hills of South India.

## Oligodon cruentatus (Günther)

Simotes cruentatus Günther, 1868, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (4), 1, p. 417: Pegu, Burma.

$$
\text { ○, juv. (M. C. Z. 2279) North India (M. M. Carleton) } 1873 .
$$

Midbody scale rows 17 ; ventrals $163-170$; anals 2 ; subcaudals $30-37$; upper labials $8(4,5)$; loreal 1 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $1+2$. Total length of $\circ, 386(340+46) \mathrm{mm}$.

Most of the Rev. M. M. Carleton's collections came from the Punjab but these specimens were not further localized than North India. Malcolm Smith (1943, p. 221) gives Burma between lats. $16^{\circ}$ and $20^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. as the range of this species. Careful examination, and comparison with Burmese specimens of cruentatus, show that these suakes agree perfectly with Dr. Smith's description of the species except for slight color differences. Both show the characteristic annuli on the base and tip of the tail, both lack the dark brown longitudinal stripes sometimes seen in this species, but the adult shows the faint dark reticulations on the back anteriorly coalescing to form four, fine, longitudinal lines of which the outer pair are most distinct; the juvenile shows four similar longitudinal rows of minute ocelli, each covering about half a scale.

## Oligodon taeniolatus (Jerdon)

Coronella taeniolata Jerdon, 1853, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 22, p. 528: Vizagapatam, India.

> १) $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3842, 3869) Malabar (R. H. Beddome) N. D.
> $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3848) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D.
> $0^{7}$, juv. (M. C. Z. 3904) Southern India (R. H. Beddome) N. D.
> $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 18061) Taliparamba, Madras (F. Wall) 1923.

Midbody scale-rows 13-15 (13 in M. C. Z. 3842 only); ventrals 166-172; anals 2 ; subcaudals $37-49$; upper labials 7 (3, 4); loreal 1; preocular 1; postoculars 2 ; temporals $1+2$ or $1+4$ (on right side
of M. C. Z. 3842 only). Total length of or (M. C. Z. 3848), 455 $(385+70) \mathrm{mm}$.
Apparently M. C. Z. 3842 is the first recorded example of taeniolatus with 13 midbody scale-rows. Mr. Loveridge, who examined the snake at my request, agrees that it is conspecific with the others. In coloration M. C. Z. 3842 and 3904 ( $\sigma^{\text {T }}$ ) agree with form I of M. A. Smith (1943, p. 224); M. C. Z. 3869, 3848 and 3904 (juv.) are form II, while M. C. Z. 18061 corresponds to form IV.

## Oligodon arnensis (Shaw)

Coluber arnensis Shaw, 1802, Gen. Zool., 3, p. 526: Vizagapatam and Arni, India.

> juv. (M. C. Z. 4065) Kulu Valley (M. M. Carleton) 1876.
> o (M. C. Z. 4491) Ambala (M. M. Carleton) 1880.

Midbody scale rows 17 ; ventrals $187-190$; anals 2; subcaudals 39-52; upper labials $7(3,4)$ with the 6 th excluded from the border of the lip in M. C. Z. 4491, or $6(2,3)$ with the 5 th excluded from the lip on one side in M. C. Z. 4065; loreal 1; preocular 1; a tiny subocular present on one side in M. C. Z. 4065; postoculars 2; temporals $1+2$. The ventrals are not angulate laterally in the larger snake and only slightly so in the juvenile. Total length of $\circ, 502(420+82) \mathrm{mm}$.
F. Wall (1923, p. 324), in discussing this species, gives a range of 28-40 dark, white-edged, dorsal bars on the body with 7-20 on the tail for specimens from north of the Ganges, and records one snake with 47 bars on the body from Bihar. Malcolm Smith (1943, p. 227) remarks that his conclusions differ from those of Wall as regards the geographical distribution of the number of bars and gives a range of $7-20$ on the body and 7-20 on the tail for examples from India north of lat. $20^{\circ}$. The maximum number of bars he mentions for any part of the range of this species is 30 on the body and 16 on the tail. Our two well-localized snakes would seem to support Wall's conclusions. The adult has 44 bars on the body and 13 on the tail, while the juvenile exhibits 45 bars on the body and 11 on the tail.

## Oligodon affinis Günther

Oligodon affnis Günther, 1862, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (3), 9, p. 58: Anaimalai Hills, India.

Midbody scale-rows 17; ventrals 144; anals 2; subcaudals 27; upper labials $7(3,4)$; loreal 0 ; preoculars 2 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $1+1$. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 311(270+41) \mathrm{mm}$.

This snake is unusual in showing two preoculars; one being considered constant for the genus by M. Smith (1943, p. 196).

## Ahaetulla ahaetulla ahaetulla (Linnaeus)

Coluber ahaetulla Linnaeus, 1758, Syst. Nat. ed. 10, 1, p. 225 (part): Asia (restricted).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \delta^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 3194) Calcutta (W. Theobald) } 1866 . \\
& 3 \sigma^{7} \delta^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 3840, 3862, 3893) Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D. } \\
& \delta^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 4204) India (E. Gerrard) 1877. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 15; ventrals 163-188; anals 2; subcaudals 138-153; upper labials $9(4,5,6)$ or $8(4,5)$ in M. C. Z. 3893 only, or $9(5,6)$ in M. C. Z. 3862 only; loreal 1; preocular 1; postoculars 2; temporals $2+2$, rarely $1+2$ (M. C. Z. 3194) or $2+1$ (M. C. Z. 3862). Total length of $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3194), $905(600+305) \mathrm{mm}$.

In coloration M. C. Z. 4204 agrees with form I of M. A. Smith (1943, p. 243) while all the others are of form II, which is allegedly confined to Southern India. It is quite possible that the Calcutta specimen may have come from further south.

## Ahaetulla grandoculis (Boulenger)

Dendrophis grandoculis Boulenger, 1890, Fauna Brit. India, Rept. Batr., p. 337: Tinnevelly Hills and Coonoor Ghat, India.
$0^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3863) Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D.
Midbody scale rows 15 ; ventrals 180 ; anals 2; subcaudals 133 ; upper labials $9(4,5,6)$; loreal 1 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $1+2$ and $2+2$. Total length of $\delta^{7}, 1035(710+325) \mathrm{mm}$.

This snake considerably increases the limited subcaudal range of 117-124 given by M. A. Smith (1943, p. 246), and has apparently only 30 , instead of $31-33$, maxillary teeth (loc. cit., p. 245).

## Chrysopelea ornata (Shaw)

Coluber ornatus Shaw, 1802, Gen. Zool., 3, p. 477: East India Islands.
of $\circ$ (M. C. Z. 3113, 3115) Calcutta (W. Theobald) 1866. $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3903) Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D.

Midbody scale-rows 17; ventrals 215-222; anals 2; subcaudals 117-120; upper labials 9 ( $4,5,6$ ); loreal 1; preocular 1; postoculars 2 ; temporals $2+2$. Total length of $\%$ (M. C. Z. 3115), $963(710+$ 253) mm .

In coloration M. C. Z. 3113 agrees with form I of M. A. Smith (1943, p. 252); M. C. Z. 3115 is intermediate between forms I and II, and M. C. Z. 3903 is immature.

Deraniyagala (1945, Spolia Zeylanica, 24, p. 106) has recently described a Ceylonese race of Chrysopelea ornata as lankavae. In so far as the description of this form is apparently based entirely upon the nature of the dorsal spots, our series, though small, casts some doubt on its validity.

In a young 396 mm . snake (M. C. Z. 39811) from Udakelle Estate, Polganivella, Ceylon, the rosette-like markings are very distinct, covering 4,5 , or even, though rarely, 6 scales, thus conforming to lankavae. However, it differs from this form in having the spots upon every, instead of only the alternate, crossbar.

Even more distinct than in this Ceylonese example are the vertebral spots, covering 4 to 6 scales, in a Madras snake (M. C. Z. 3903); but in this specimen, particularly posteriorly, there are indications of a very fine crossbar between each of the larger ones showing a vertebral spot, so that this Indian specimen, too, appears to conform to lankavae.

Apparently M. C. Z. 3113 from Calcutta might be placed in either race for it shows distinct vertebral spots of 4 to 5 scales in size on alternate crossbars.

## Chrysopelea taprobanica Smith

Chrysopelea taprobanica Smith, 1943, Fauna Brit. India, Rept. Amphib., 3, p. 254: Kanthali, Ceylon.

$$
0^{7} \text {, head (M. C. Z. 47881) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D. }
$$

Midbody scale-rows 17; ventrals 208; anals 2; subcaudals 120 ; upper labials $9(4,5,6)$; loreal 1 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $2+2$. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 785(560+225) \mathrm{mm}$.

These examples show that this species, originally described as from Ceylon alone, also occurs on the Indian mainland.

## Lycodon subcinctus Boie

Lycodon subcinctus Boie, 1827, Isis von Oken, p. 551: Java.
$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 2236) Pondichéry (Paris Mus.) 1865.

Midbody scale-rows 17; ventrals 206; anals 2; subcaudals 76 ; upper labials $8(3,4,5)$; loreal 1 ; preocular 0; postoculars 2 ; temporals $1+2$. Total length of $0^{7}, 310(255+55) \mathrm{mm}$.

Peninsular India is well outside the range of this snake according to M. A. Smith (1943, p. 258), but it is quite likely that this locality is erroneous. The specimen was received in exchange from A. A. Duméril.

## Lycodon striatus (Shaw)

Coluber striatus Shaw, 1802, Gen. Zool., 3, p. 527: Vizagapatam and Hyderabad, India.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma^{7} \sigma^{7} \text {, \& (M. C. Z. 3144) Kulu Valley (M. M. Carleton) } 1874 . \\
& \sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 4784) Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 17; ventrals $161-180$; anals 2 ; subcaudals 49-58; upper labials $8(3,4,5)$ or $6(3,4)$ in M. C. Z. 3144 (ㅇ) only; loreal 1 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $1+2,2+2$, or $2+3$, the arrangement being often azygous. Total lengths of $\sigma^{78} \sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. $3144 \mathrm{~A}, 3144 \mathrm{~B}), 333(270+63) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Lycodon aulicus aulicus (Linnaeus)

Coluber aulicus Linnaeus, 1758, Syst. Nat. ed. 10, 1, p. 220, and 1754, Mus. Ad. Frid., 1, p. 29, pl. xii, fig. 2: "America."

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma^{7} \text {, ㅇ 우 (M. C. Z. 4269, 3212, 5400) Ambala (M. M. Carleton) } \\
& 1877 \text { \& } 1879 . \\
& 0^{7} 0^{7} \text {, } \circ \text { (M. C. Z. 3877, 3912, 4783) Madras (R. H. Beddome) } \\
& \text { N. D. } \\
& \sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 4846) Bombay (no further data). } \\
& \text { \& (M. C. Z. 7541) Lucknow (T. Barbour) } 1907 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 17 ; ventrals 182-214; anals 2, except in M. C. Z. 3877 and $4783\left(\sigma^{7}\right)$ where it is single; subcaudals $57-72$; upper labials 9 ( $3,4,5$ ); loreal 1 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals usually $2+3$, rarely $1+2,1+3$, or $2+4$. Total length of 오 (M. C. Z. 7541), $675(565+110) \mathrm{mm}$.

My reasons for employing trinomials are explained below.

## Lycodon aulicus travancoricus (Beddome)

Cercaspis travancoricus Beddome, 1870, Madras Month. Journ. Med. Sci., 2, p. 169: Travancore Hills, India.

> or (M. C. Z. 2232) Pondichéry (Paris Mus.) 1865.
> of (M. C. Z. 3856, 47887) Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D. or', $^{\circ}$ (M. C. Z. 6206) Anaimalai Hills (Brit. Mus.) 1888.
> o (M. C. Z. 18050) Taliparamba, Madras (F. Wall) 1923.

Midbody scale-rows 17 ; ventrals $183-205$; anal 1 ; subcaudals 62-70; upper labials $9(3,4,5)$ or $8(2,3,4)$ in M. C. Z. 47887 only; loreal 1; preocular 1; postoculars 2; temporals $2+3$ except M. C. Z. 2232 which has $1+3(\mathrm{R})$ and $2+4(\mathrm{~L})$. Total length of $\circ$ (M. C. Z. 2232), $508(410+98) \mathrm{mm}$.

In Malcolm A. Smith (1943, pp. 259, 263-265) L. aulicus and L. travancoricus are regarded as full species separated by the divided anal of aulicus, single in travancoricus; and the loreal separated from, or only just touching, the internasal in travancoricus, while it is extensively in contact in aulicus.

On the basis of these characters our specimens include three intermediates between these two forms, which would suggest that their relationship is rather that of subspecies than specific. These intermediates are:
$0^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 2232) which has a single anal and the loreal well in contact with the internasals and is seemingly closest to travancoricus. $\sigma^{7} \sigma^{77}$ (M. C. Z. 3877, 4783) each with a single anal and the loreal extensively in contact with the internasal and apparently closer to aulicus.
In coloration there seems to be a tendency for the cross-bars of travancoricus, particularly in the young where those on the tail are still distinguishable, to be more numerous (27-51) than in aulicus (12-50, with most 16-25 and only one as high as 50).

There are also slight differences in the hemipenes according to Dr. Smith, but being without a mature male of travancoricus I was unable to evaluate these.

It will be observed that we have both races (including intermediates) from near Madras, which area is included in the range of both "species" as outlined by Dr. Smith. Undoubtedly the ranges of aulicus and travancoricus overlap.
M. C. Z. 2232 formed part of an exchange from A. A. Duméril. M. C. Z. 6206 ( $\sigma^{7}, \ldots$ ) were received from the British Museum as Lycodon striatus (Shaw).

## Dryocalamus gracilis (Günther)

Odontomus gracilis Günther, 1864, Rept. Brit. India, p. 234: Anaimalai Hills, India.
$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 4105) Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D.
Midbody scale-rows 15 ; ventrals 237; anal 1 ; subcaudals $83^{+}$; upper labials $7(3,4)$; loreal 1 ; preocular 1 (L) or $2(\mathrm{R})$, apparently a reversion to the more primitive condition in which the loreal is separated from the eye by a preocular; postocular 1 ; temporals $2+3$. Total length of $0^{7}, 274^{+}\left(220+54^{+}\right) \mathrm{mm}$.

## sibynophis collaris (Gray)

Psammophis collaris Gray, 1853, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (2), 12, p. 390: Khasi Hills, India.

ㅇ ㅇ, $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3136, 3141, 3148) Kulu Valley (M. M. Carleton) 1874.

Midbody scale-rows 17; ventrals 173-176; anals 2; subcaudals $72^{+}-85^{+}$; upper labials $10(4,5,6)$; loreal 1 ; preoculars 1 ; postoculars 2; temporals $1+2$. Total length of $\circ$ (M. C. Z. 3136), $583^{+}\left(428+155^{+}\right) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Sibynophis subpunctatus (Duméril \& Bibron)

Oligodon subpunctatus Duméril \& Bibron, 1854, Erpét. Gén., 7, p. 58: Malabar, India.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 3897) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D. } \\
\delta^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. } 5395 \text { ) Calcutta (No further data). } \\
\circ \uparrow \text { o } \delta^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 18047-9) Taliparamba, Madras (F. Wall) } 1923 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 17; ventrals $161-170$; anals 2 ; subcaudals 57-64; upper labials $9(4,5,6)$; loreal 1 ; preocular 1; postoculars 2 ; temporals $2+2$. Total length of $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3897), $382(286+96)$ mm .

## Natrix piscator (Schneider)

Hydrus piscator Schneider, 1799, Hist. Amphib., 1, p. 247: East Indies.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \%, } \circ^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 3112, 3193) Calcutta (W. Theobald) } 1866 . \\
& \sigma^{7} \sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 3855, 3887) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D. } \\
& \text { 甲, o' (M. C. Z. 7540, 15716) Lucknow (T. Barbour) } 1907 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 19 ; ventrals 136 - 146 ; anals 2 ; subcaudals $62-88$; upper labials $9(4,5)$ except in M. C. Z. 7540 which has 9 (4) on the right and 10 (5) on the left; loreal 1; preoculars 1-2 (2 on right of M. C. Z. 3112 only); postoculars 3-4 (4 on left of M. C. Z. 3112 only, while M. C. Z. 15716 has 4 post + suboculars on each side); temporals $2+2$ and $2+3$. Total length of ㅇ (M. C. Z. 7540), $864(630+234) \mathrm{mm}$.

In coloration M. C. Z. 3112 and 7540 belong to form I, part 1, of Smith (1943, pp. 295-6). M. C. Z. 3887 and 15716 are of form I, part 2 (sancti-johannis), while M. C. Z. 3193 and 3855 are nearest to form II ( flavipunctata of the Indo-Chinese region from Assam eastwards).

## Natrix himalayana (Günther)

Tropidonotus himalayanus Günther, 1864, Rept. Brit. India, p. 265, pl. xxii, fig. H: Sikkim and Nepal, India.

> o (M. C. Z. 3896) "near Madras" (R. H. Beddome) N. D.
of (M. C. Z. 22386) Darjeeling (Brit. Mus.) 1926.
Midbody scale-rows 19; ventrals 163-168; anals 2; subcaudals 81-87; upper labials $8(4,5)$; loreal 1 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 3 ; temporals $1+1,1+2$, and $2+2$. Total length of $\circ$ (M. C. Z. 22386), $695(530+165) \mathrm{mm}$.

The locality of M. C. Z. 3896 is placed in quotes as probably wrong for, if correct, it would increase the range of this species very greatly.

## Natrix subminiata (Schlegel)

Tropidonotus subminiatus Schlegel, 1837, Phys. Serp., 2, p. 313: Java.
○ (M. C. Z. 7233) Samaguting, Assam (Capt. Butler) 1908.
Midbody scale-rows 19 ; ventrals 169 ; anals 2 ; subcaudals 78 ; upper labials $8(3,4,5)$ on the left, $9(4,5,6)$ on the right; loreal 1 ; preocular 1; postoculars 2 ; temporals $2+2$. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 932$ $(700+232) \mathrm{mm}$.
This specimen is apparently referable to the rather doubtful race N. s. helleri Schmidt, as defined by Smith (1943, p. 303).

## Natrix stolata stolata (Linnaeus)

Coluber stolatus Linnaeus, 1758, Syst. Nat. (ed. 10), 1, p. 219; and 1766 (ed. 12), 1, p. 379: Asia.

ㅇ $\quad$ (M. C. Z. 3111, 3185) Calcutta (W. Theobald) 1866.
$4 \sigma^{7} 0^{7}$, 우 ㅇ, , juv. (M. C. Z. 3871, 3889) near Madras (R. H. Beddome)

> N. D. $\sigma^{7,} 3$ ㅇ $\quad$ o (M. C. Z. 4267) Ambala (M. M. Carleton) 1877.  o (M. C. Z. 7534) Lucknow (T. Barbour) 1907.

Midbody scale-rows 19 ; ventrals $123-152$; anals 2 ; subcaudals $54-80$; upper labials $6(2,3), 7(2,3,4), 7(3,4), 7(3,4,5), 8(3,4,5$,), $8(4,5)$, and $9(4,5,6)$, the third and fifth arrangements being most frequent with each appearing five times; loreal 1 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 3, except M. C. Z. 4267A, which has 4 on the right side; temporals $1+1,1+2$, or $1+3$ (latter in one instance only). Total length of $\circ$ (M. C. Z. 3185), $451^{\boldsymbol{+}}\left(420+31^{+}\right) \mathrm{mm}$.

My reasons for employing trinomials are explained below.

## Natrix stolata beddomil (Günther)

Tropidonotus beddomii Günther, 1864, Rept. Brit. India, p. 269, pl. xxii, fig. E: Nilgiri Hills, India.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sigma^{7} \sigma^{7}, \circ \% \text {, juv. (M. C. Z. 3841, 3905, 47898-9) near Madras } \\
\text { (R. H. Beddome) N. D. } \\
\sigma^{7} \sigma^{\prime \prime} \text { (M. C. Z. 6204) Anaimalai Hills (Brit. Mus.) } 1888 .
\end{array}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 19; ventrals $141-149$; anals 2 ; subcaudals $62-72$; upper labials $8(3,4,5)$ or $8(4,5)$; loreal 1 ; preocular 1 , semidivided on right side of M. C. Z. 6204A; postoculars 3; temporals $1+0$ (one example only), $1+1$, and $1+2$. Total length of $o^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 47898), $482(360+122) \mathrm{mm}$.

The essential differences between N. stolata and N. beddomii may be discussed according to Boulenger (1890, p. 342) and M. A. Smith (1943, pp. 284 and $303-306$ ) as follows:

1. The internasals are said to be narrowly truncate in stolata, allegedly broadly truncate in beddomii. However, the internasals are moderately truncate in four stolata (M. C. Z. 3871, 3889C), and in two beddomii (M. C. Z. 6204A, 6204B).
2. The frontal is identical in shape and proportions in examples of both "species," e.g. stolata (M. C. Z. 3889D) and beddomii (M. C. Z. 47899).
3. Both ventral and subcaudal counts of beddomii are included in those of stolata as given by M. A. Smith (1943, pp. 304-306).
4. Bidentate scales are, of course, common to both reptiles.
5. Hemipenes of stolata extend only to the eighth subcaudal, while in beddomii they reach to the twelfth according to M. A. Smith (loc. cit). However it extends to the twelfth subcaudal and is forked at the tip and spinose in both stolata (M. C. Z. 3889E) and beddomii (M. C. Z. 47898).
6. Maxillary teeth are said to number 21-24 in stolata, 28-34 in beddomii according to Dr. Smith (loc. cit). However, careful examination of a stolata (M. C. Z. 3889B) revealed 13 teeth, the last one abruptly enlarged and somewhat separated from the rest, but the gaps between the teeth indicated some had been shed and suggested a probable total of 26 teeth. Similarly in a beddomii (M. C. Z. 47899) there were also 13 teeth, the last one enlarged and separated from the rest, but in this case the gaps indicated a total of 27 teeth. In both "species" the teeth and their arrangement on the jaw seemed identical.
7. It is in coloration that the greatest difference is to be found, yet the yellow stripe so characteristic of stolata is formed merely by the fusion of the lateral yellow spots that one sees in beddomii. This stripe is often absent anteriorly in stolata so that their front part frequently resembles that of beddomii. The stripe is absent in stolata hatchlings which are indistinguishable from beddomii hatchlings except that the former tend to be more brightly colored. A yellow bar in front of the eye is usually present in stolata, but an occasional specimen (M. C. Z. 3889B) may be indistinguishable in this respect from a beddomii (M. C. Z. 47899).

## Natrix monticola (Jerdon)

Tropidonotus monticolus Jerdon, 1853, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 22, p. 530: Wynaad, India.

$$
\sigma^{7}, ~ \circ(\text { M. C. Z. 3874, 3909) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D. }
$$

Midbody scale-rows 19; ventrals 134-144; anals 2; subcaudals m. \& 77 ; upper labials $8(3,4,5)$, except on left side of M. C. Z. 3874, where there are $6(3,4)$; loreal 1 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 3 , except on right side of M. C. Z. 3909 where there are 4 ; temporals $2+2$. Total length of ㅇ (M. C. Z. 3909), $599(430+169) \mathrm{mm}$.

While the coloring of M. C. Z. 3874 is normal, that of M. C. Z. 3909 is uniform dark green above except for a very few yellow flecks on
the flanks. It has a faint yellow line across the head just behind the eyes, but no collar or line across the back of the head; the dots on the frontal are present.

## Macropisthodon plumbicolor (Cantor)

Tropidonotus plumbicolor Cantor, 1839, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 54: Malwa (Saugor), Central India.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \sigma^{7} \sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 3838, 3902) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D. } \\
& 0^{7}, \mp \text { (M. C. Z. 6205) Anaimalai Hills, at } 4,700 \text { feet (Brit. Mus.) } \\
& 1888 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 25; ventrals $147-153$; anals 2 ; subcaudals $37-47$ ( $\sigma^{7} \delta^{7} 41-47$, ㅇ 37); upper labials $7(3,4)$; loreal 1 , or 0 in two specimens; preoculars 2 ; postoculars 3 ; temporals $2+2$ or $2+3$. Total length of $\circ, 643(565+78) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Atretium schistosum (Daudin)

Coluber schistosus Daudin, 1803, Hist. Nat. Rept., 7, p. 132: Type locality unknown.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma^{7}, \text { of (M. C. Z. 1330) Periyakulam, Madura District (D. C. Scudder) } \\
& 1863 . \\
& \text { ol }^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 3907) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 19; ventrals 144-153; anals 2; subcaudals 59-84; upper labials $9(4,5)$; loreal 1 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 3 , or 2 on right side of M. C. Z. 3907; temporals $2+1$ or $2+2$. Total length of $\%, 588(468+120) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Trachischium monticola (Cantor)

Calamaria monticola Cantor, 1839, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 50: Naga Hills, India.

$$
\sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 22382) Shillong, Assam (Brit. Mus.) } 1926 .
$$

Midbody scale-rows 15; ventrals 122; anals 2; subcaudals 31+ (apparently only a single shield missing); upper labials 6 (3, 4); loreal 1 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $1+1$. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 184(157+27) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Trachischium fuscum (Blyth)

Calamaria fusca Blyth, 1854, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 23, p. 288: Darjeeling, India.
of (M. C. Z. 7513) Rungeel Valley, Sikkim (unlocated) (T. Barbour) 1906.
$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 7514) Tista Valley, Bhutan Border (T. Barbour) 1906.
Midbody scale-rows 13 ; ventrals 155 ; anals 2 ; subcaudals $35-38$; upper labials 6 (3, 4); loreal 1; preocular 1; postocular 1; temporals $1+1$ or $1+2$. Total length of $\circ, 376(324+52) \mathrm{mm}$.

The anal shield is divided in these specimens as in all our examples of Trachischium, though M. A. Smith's (1943, p. 321) generic description calls for a single anal.

## Trachischium tenuiceps (Blyth)

Calamaria tenuiceps Blyth, 1854, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 23, p. 288: Darjeeling, India.
$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 22388) near Darjeeling (Brit. Mus.) 1926.
Midbody scale-rows 13 ; ventrals 136 ; anals 2; subcaudals 41 ; upper labials 6 (3, 4), loreal 1; preocular 1; postoculars 2 ; temporals $1+1$. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 290(240+50) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Xylophis perroteti (Duméril \& Bibron)

Platypteryx perroteti Duméril \& Bibron, 1854, Erpét. Gén., 7, p. 501: Nilgiri Hills, India.
4 ㅇ $\uparrow$ (M. C. Z. $3847,3866,3901$ ) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N.D.
Midbody scale-rows 13; ventrals $136-147$; anal 1 ; subcaudals $14-22$; upper labials 5 (3, 4); loreal 1 ; preocular 0 ; postocular 1 ; temporals $1+2$. Total length of $\%($ M. C. Z. 3901), $294(275+19) \mathrm{mm}$.

The sublinguals are separated from the first ventral by two small scales in M. C. Z. 3847, the remaining snakes conform to the illustration given by M. A. Smith (1943, p. 342, fig. 110).

## Boiga ochracea ochracea (Günther)

Dipsas ochraceus Günther, 1868, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (4), 1, p. 425: "Pegu," Burma.

[^1]Midbody scale-rows 21; ventrals 225; anal 1 ; subcaudals 108 ; upper labials $8(3,4,5)$; loreal 1 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $2+2(\mathrm{~L})$ or $2+3(\mathrm{R})$. Total length of $0^{7}, 835(650+185) \mathrm{mm}$.

The hemipenes of this specimen are spined on the proximal as well as the distal half - contrary to the description in M. A. Smith (1943, p. 347). This snake, although rather faded, was apparently uniform brown in color, as called for in Dr. Smith's key (p. 346), and fails to show the more or less distinct crossbars described on pp. 348-349. The locality of our specimen is presumably incorrect.

## Boiga trigonata (Schneider)

Coluber trigonatus Schneider, 1802, in Bechstein's transl. of Lacépede, 4, p. 256, pl. xl, fig. 1: Vizagapatam, India.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0^{7} \text {, of (M. C. Z. 3898) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D. } \\
& \text {. } 1 \\
& \sigma^{77} \text { (M. C. Z. 15798) Karachi, Sind (F. Wall) } 1921 . \\
& \text { ㅇ (M. C. Z. 46624) Sabathu, Punjab (J. Carleton) N. D. } \\
& \text { ○ (M. C. Z. 46888) Karachi, Sind (U. S. Nat. Mus.) } 1944 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 21; ventrals 218-237; anal 1; subcaudals $78-88$; upper labials $8(3,4,5)$; loreal 1 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2, or 3 on right side of M. C. Z. 15798 only; temporals $2+2$ or $2+3$. Total length of ㅇ (M. C. Z. 5401), $818(660+158) \mathrm{mm}$.

In showing the 3rd, 4th, and 5th labials entering the orbit, these snakes, like all other Indian specimens of Boiga in the collection with one exception, agree with M. A. Smith's (1943, p. 345) fig. C, but not with the accompanying text which states 4 th, 5 th, and 6 th.

## Boiga ceylonensis nuchalis (Günther)

Dipsas nuchalis Günther, 1875, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 233: West Coast of India.
$o^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3876) neàr Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D.
o (M. C. Z. 18062) Taliparamba, Madras (F. Wall) 1923.

Midbody scale-rows 21, or 23 in M. C. Z. 18062; ventrals 234-241; anal 1 ; subcaudals 101-102; upper labials $8(3,4,5)$; loreal 1 ; preocular 1; postoculars 2 ; temporals $2+3,3+3$, or $3+5$. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 671(522+149) \mathrm{mm}$.
M. C. Z. 18062 was received from Colonel Wall as nuchalis and
both of these snakes agree with this form as outlined by Malcolm Smith (1943 p. 352). When Wall (1909 pp. 151-154) split ceylonensis into four forms (ceylonensis, nuchalis, beddomei, and andamanensis) he believed that each was a full species, although in later papers (1924 p. 870 for example) he admitted that their specific rank would probably be denied by most students. On the other hand, when I consider the very large number of examples examined by Colonel Wall (72 of ceylonensis and 59 of nuchalis) I am inclined to think that he has shown the differences between these forms to be adequately constant to require their subspecific recognition.

## Boiga multifasciata (Blyth)

Dipsas multifasciatus Blyth, 1860, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 29, p. 114: Sabathu, Simla, India.

## ㅇ (M. C. Z. 3228) Kulu Valley (M. M. Carleton) 1872.

Midbody scale-rows 21; ventrals 245 ; anal 1 ; subcaudals 103 ; upper labials $8(3,4,5)$; loreal 1 ; preoculars 1 or 2 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $2+2$ or $2+3$, $(1+2$ or $2+3$ are characteristic according to M. Smith, 1943, p. 357). This snake is unusual in having only $8+2$ maxillary teeth since Dr. Smith (loc. cit.) gives 10 or $11+2$ as the usual range. Total length of $\circ, 1112(890+222) \mathrm{mm}$.

As regards coloration our example has about 85 rather broken up oblique bars on the sides, the bars generally fusing dorsally to form a series of V-shaped marks. The characteristic dorsal white spots are very much reduced and posteriorly they are only indicated by a discontinuous vertebral line.

Dr. Malcolm Smith states (loc. cit.) that the vertebral scales are not strongly enlarged in this species, although Stoliczka (1870, p. 199) mentions the reverse as being true. Our specimen shows moderately strong enlargement anteriorly and strong enlargement on the posterior third of the body. At midbody the scales do not appear to be enlarged, but this is apparently due to the large vertebrals being split into two or three small scales. This phenomenon was noted by Wall (1909 p. 352).

In discussing B. ceylonensis both Smith (1943 p. 353) and Wall ( 1909 p. 153, 1911 p. 279,1919 p. 571 , and 1924 p. 872 ) mention a few specimens of this species, as coming from localities (Orissa, Nepal and Assam) far north of the usual range. Both authors note the rarity of these records, the species being very common in South

India and Ceylon, and Smith expresses doubt as to the identification of these northern specimens. The two juveniles from Nepal (apparently the only northern examples examined by Dr. Smith) are described by him (loc. cit.) as having 21 midbody scale-rows; only 2 anterior temporals; and a different color pattern, the vertebral spots being absent and in their place a series of transverse or oblique bars. A similar color pattern is described for the Orissa specimen by Wall (1911 p. 279). All of these points seem to characterize multifasciata rather than ceylonensis. The only other characters given by Dr. Smith as differentiating these two species seem to be the enlargement of the vertebrals (strongly in ceylonensis, not strongly in multifasciata), a point already discussed; a slight difference in the number of maxillary teeth ( 10 or $11+2$ in multifasciata, 12 to $20+2$ in ceylonensis); and the presence of dorsal white spots in multifasciata, though they may be very much reduced, as in our example.

I think, therefore, that the northern specimens known as ceylonensis are probably the Himalayan multifasciata and that ceylonensis (including its subspecies) is confined to the hills of South India, Ceylon, and the Andaman Islands.

Our specimen is a gravid female with nine eggs measuring $35 \times 18$ mm . on the average.

## Boiga forsteni (Duméril \& Bibron)

Triglyphodon forsteni Duméril \& Bibron, 1854, Erpét. Gén., 7, p. 1077: Type locality unknown.

$$
\text { \& (M. C. Z. 22383) India (Brit. Mus.) } 1926 .
$$

Midbody scale-rows 27 ; ventrals 265; anal 1 ; subcaudals 102 ; upper labials $9(3,4,5)$ on left, $10(4,5,6)$ on right; loreal 1 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $3+3$, or $3+4$. Color uniform. Total length of $\mathrm{o}, 1283(1030+253) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Psammophis leithii Günther

Psammophis leithii Günther, 1869, Proc. Zool. Soc. London, p. 505, pl. xxxix: Sind, India.

$$
\sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 3151) Ambala (M. M. Carleton) N. D. }
$$

Midbody scale-rows 17; ventrals 170; anal 1 ; subcaudals $47^{+}$; upper labials $8(4,5)$; loreal 1 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $1+2$. Total length of $0^{7}, 610^{+}\left(490+120^{+}\right) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Psammodynastes pulverulentus (Boie)

Psammophis pulverulenta Boie, 1827, Isis von Oken, p. 547: Java. $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3891) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D.
Midbody scale-rows 17 ; ventrals 159 ; anal 1 ; subcaudals 61 ; upper labials $8(3,4,5)$; loreal 1 ; preoculars 2; postoculars 2 ; temporals $2+2$. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 433(340+93) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Dryophis perroteti (Duméril \& Bibron)

Psammophis perroteti Duméril \& Bibron, 1854, Erpét. Gén., 7, p. 899: "Indes Orientales."

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma^{7} \delta^{\prime}, \circ \circ \text { (M. C. Z. 3859, 3878, 3900) near Madras (R. H. Bed- } \\
\text { dome) N. D. } \\
\text { ㅇ, juv. (M. C. Z. 4180) "Pegu, Burma" (E. Gerrard) } 1877 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 15; ventrals 138-143; anals 2; subcaudals $65-80$; upper labials $8(4,5)$; loreal 0 ; preocular 1 ; postocular 1 ; temporals $1+2$. Total length of $\circ$ (M. C. Z. 4180), $558(420+$ 138) mm .

As might be expected the males show more subcaudals (76-80) than do the females ( $65-71$ ) though M. A. Smith (1943, p. 373) gives the females more $(71-86)$ than the males (65-75). The coloration of three specimens is the usual green (blue in alcohol), while the other three are olive brown above, as in Dr. Smith's specimen from the Nilgiri Hills. The locality on M. C. Z. 4180 is almost certainly erroneous.

## Dryophis dispar (Günther)

Tragops dispar Günther, 1864, Rept. Brit. India, p. 303, pl. xxiii, fig. A: Anaimalai Hills, India.
\& (M. C. Z. 33516) Kodaikanal, Palni Hills (Senckenberg Mus.) 1932.
of, or (M. C. Z. 48800, 48953) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D.
Midbody scale-rows 15 ; ventrals $143-150$; anals 2; subcaudals 86-112; upper labials $8(4,5)$ and no pre-subocular in M. C. Z. 48953, 8 (5) with 2 pre-suboculars in M. C. Z. 48800, 7 (4) on right and 6 (3) on left side with 1 pre-subocular in M. C. Z. 33516; loreals 1-2; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $1+2$ or $2+2$. Total length of 9 (M. C. Z. 33516), $617(445+172) \mathrm{mm}$.

The internasals are separated from the labials in M. C. Z. 48953 and 33516, while they are in contact in M. C. Z. 48800.

## Dryophis fronticinctus Günther

Dryophis fronticinctus Günther, 1858, Cat. Col. Snakes Brit. Mus., p. 158:
Type locality unknown.

$$
\text { \% (M C Z 18394) no locality (W. N. Parker) } 1924 .
$$

Midbody scale-rows 15 ; ventrals 188; anals 2; subcaudals $77^{+}$; upper labials 8 (5) with 2 large and 1 small pre-suboculars; loreals 2 ; preocular 1 (in contact with frontal); postoculars 2 ; temporals $2+3$. Total length of $\%, 800^{+}\left(600+200^{+}\right) \mathrm{mm}$.

Nasals well-separated, not in contact behind the rostral. This snake was given by Günther to Prof. W. N. Parker for help given the British Museum; Parker gave it to A. Loveridge who brought it to the Museum of Comparative Zoölogy.

## Dryophis nasutus (Lacépède)

Coluber nasutus Lacépède, 1789, Hist. Nat. Serp., 2, pp. 100, 277, pl. iv, fig. 2: Ceylon (restricted).
head, $0^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3899) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D.
head (M. C. Z. 4754) Calcutta (No further data).
Midbody scale-rows 15; ventrals 185; anals 2; subcaudals $177^{+}$; upper labials 8 (5); pre-suboculars 1-2; loreal 0; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $1+2,2+2,2+3$, or $2+4$. Total length of $0^{7}, 429^{+}\left(275+154^{+}\right) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Dryophis pulverulentus (Duméril \& Bibron)

Dryinus pulverulentus Duméril \& Bibron, 1854, Erpet. Gén., 7, p. 812: Type locality unknown.

head (M. C. Z. 3906) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D.

Nasals not in contact; upper labials 8 (5);pre-suboculars 2 ; loreal 0 ; preocular 1; postoculars 2 ; temporals $2+3$.

## Enhydris enhydris (Schneider)

Hydrus enhydris Schneider, 1799, Hist. Amphib., 1, p. 245: "Indiae orientalis." of (M. C. Z. 3192) Calcutta (W. Theobald) 1866.

Midbody scale-rows 21; ventrals 161; anals 2; subcaudals 61; upper labials 8 (4); loreal 1; preocular 1; postocular 2; temporals $1+2$. Total length of $\circ, 470(381+89) \mathrm{mm}$.

In color pattern this snake conforms to group 1 of M. A. Smith (1943, p. 384).

## Enhydris sieboldii (Schlegel)

Homalopsis sieboldii Schlegel, 1837, Phys. Serp., 2, p. 349, pl. xiii, figs. 4-5: Bengal, India.
© (M. C. Z. 22384) Fyzabad, United Provinces (Brit. Mus.) 1926.
Midbody scale-rows 29; ventrals 148; anals 2; subcaudals 50 ; upper labials 8 (4), the last two horizontally divided; loreal 1 ; preoculars $1(\mathrm{R})$ or $2(\mathrm{~L})$; postoculars 2 ; temporals $1+2(\mathrm{R})$ or $1+3$ (L). Total length of $\circ, 441(365+76) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Cerberus rhynchops (Schneider)

Hydrus rhynchops Schneider, 1799, Hist. Amph., 1, p. 246: Ganjam, India.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 2229) Pondichéry (Paris Mus.) } 1865 . \\
& \sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. } 5501 \text { ) Calcutta (Peabody Mus., Salem) } 1886 . \\
& o \text { (M. C. Z. 5589) Calcutta (C. Williams) 1886. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Midbody scale-rows $23-25$; ventrals $147-154$; anals 2; subcaudals 54-63; nostril connected by suture with second (not first) labial in M. C. Z. 5501; upper labials 10 , none entering orbit, last two or three horizontally divided; loreal 1; preocular 1; suboculars 2; postoculars 2; temporals $1+2$ (in M. C. Z. 2229), broken up and scale-like in others. Total length of $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 5501), $550(440+110) \mathrm{mm}$.

## ELAPIDAE

## Bungarus fasciatus (Schneider)

Pseudoboa fasciata Schneider, 1801, Hist. Amphib., 2, p. 283: Bengal, India.
$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3108) Calcutta (W. Theobald) 1866.

Midbody scale-rows 15; ventrals 210; anal 1; subcaudals 39; upper labials 7 (3, 4); loreal 0; preocular 1; postoculars 2 ; temporals $1+2$. Coloration normal. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 1510(1360+150) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Bungarus caeruleus (Schneider)

Pseudoboa caerulea Schneider, 1801, Hist. Amphib., 2, p. 284: Vizagapatam, India.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 3213) Ambala (M. M. Carleton) } 1886 . \\
o^{7} \text { (juv.) (M. C. Z. 7572) Lucknow (T. Barbour) } 1906 . \\
\sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 18060) Taliparamba, Madras (F. Wall) } 1924 . \\
\sigma^{7}, o^{7} \text { (juv.) (M. C. Z. 46623, 46625) Sabathu, Punjab (J. Carleton) } \\
\text { N. D. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 15; ventrals 207-214; anal 1; subcaudals 40-51, the increase of 2 in the recognized range is due to a male (M. C. Z. 18060 ); upper labials $7(3,4)$ or $8(4,5)$ on left side of M. C. Z. 46625 only; loreal 0, except for M. C. Z. 46623 which is remarkable in having a loreal split off from the first labial on each side, preocular 1; postoculars 2; temporals $1+2$. Total length of $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 46623), 990 $(850+140) \mathrm{mm}$.

Considerable variation in color pattern is to be noted. In M. C. Z. 3213 there are no bars on the anterior portion, distinct paired bars being present on the tail only, they scarcely widen on the sides and, anteriorly in particular, there is a fairly prominent vertebral spot. In M. C. Z. 7572 the bars are more distinctly paired, widen a little laterally, and are otherwise as in M. C. Z. 3213. In M. C. Z. 18060 the bars are distinctly paired, some pairs being almost fused posteriorly, they widen considerably on the sides, particularly anteriorly; there are prominent vertebral spots. M. C. Z. 46625 is similar to M. C. Z. 7572, while M. C. Z. 46623 has rather faint bars with very little lateral widening; the vertebral spots are well defined.

## Calliophis nigrescens (Günther)

Callophis nigrescens Günther, 1862, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (3), 9, p. 131: India.
\% (M. C. Z. 3837) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D.
Midbody scale-rows 13 ; ventrals 230; anal 1 ; subcaudals 32 ; upper labials 7 ( 3,4 ); loreal 0 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $1+2$. Total length of $\circ, 770(700+70) \mathrm{mm}$.

The above counts increase the ventral range by 4 ; the specimen is also unusual in having an undivided anal. In coloration it approaches closest to form II of M. A. Smith (1943, p. 422), but the stripes are not black, only a deeper shade of the same purplish brown as the rest of the body. On the posterior half the white stripes practically disappear, as in Smith's form III.

As there appears to be no valid reason for changing Gray's spelling of Calliophis to Günther's emendation, Callophis, used by Dr. Smith, I adhere to the original form.

## Calliophis macclellandii (Reinhardt)

Elaps macclellandii Reinhardt, 1844, Calcutta Journ. Nat. Hist., 4, p. 532: Assam, India.

$$
\text { \& (M. C. Z. 22390) Shillong, Assam (Brit. Mus.) } 1926 .
$$

Midbody scale-rows 13; ventrals 213; anals 2; subcaudals 30; upper labials $7(3,4)$; loreal 0 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $1+1$. Total length of $\circ, 514(465+49) \mathrm{mm}$.

Only slight traces of the black vertebral line remain, and the black crossbars, though rather reduced, are not broken up into transverse rows of spots. The belly is much splotched with black.

## Naja naja naja (Linnaeus)

Coluber naja Linnaeus, 1758, Syst. Nat. (ed. 10), 1, p. 221 : India.

> of (M. C. Z. 1331) Periyakulam, Madura District (W. C. Scudder) 1843.
> ㅇ (M. C. Z. 3229) Kulu Valley (M. M. Carleton) 1871.
> of (M. C. Z. 3518-9) India (from charmers. M. M. Carleton) 1871.
> ¢ (M. C. Z. 5268 ) 70 miles s. w. of Ambala (M. M. Carleton) 1879.
> or $^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 7564). Lucknow (T. Barbour) 1907.

In addition there are several mounted specimens and skeletons on exhibition.
Midbody scale-rows 21-23; ventrals 187-191; anal 1; subcaudals $55-61$; upper labials $7(3,4)$; loreal 0 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 3 ; temporals $2+2,2+3$ (normally), or $2+4$. Total length of $\circ$ (M. C. Z. 3519), $1510(1270+240) \mathrm{mm}$.

In coloration M. C. Z. 1331 is pale above with very little reticulation, has no black bars on the belly, but exhibits clearly the spectacle mark of Smith's (1943, p. 433) form C. M. C. Z. 3229 is darker with
reticulation resulting from the dark-edged scales and pale interstitial skin. It has no bars on the belly, and the U-shaped spectacle mark is faint. M. C. Z. 5268 is medium brown with little reticulation, no bars on the belly, and the U-shaped spectacle mark is very faint. M. C. Z. 7564 is blackish above and below with only indistinct traces of a spectacle mark. M. C. Z. 3518 is palish brown anteriorly, blackish posteriorly. Bars are present on the belly and there is a fairly distinct spectacle. M. C. Z. 3519 is blackish, paler anteriorly and shows very little trace of the spectacle mark.

## Naja naja kaouthia Lesson

Naja kaouthia Lesson, 1831, in Ferussac, Bull. Sci. Nat., 25, p. 122: Bengal, India.

$$
0^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 4228) India (E. Gerrard) } 1877 .
$$

Midbody scale-rows 21; ventrals 177; anal 1; subcaudals 52; upper labials 7 (3, 4); loreal 0; preocular 1; postoculars 3 ; temporals $2+3$. Color normal in every way as in M. A. Smith (1943, p. 431), the monocellate mark on hood conforming to G of Smith (1943, p. 433). Total length of $0^{7}, 1495(1265+230) \mathrm{mm}$.

## HYDROPHIIDAE

## Laticauda colubrina (Schneider)

Hydrus colubrinus Schneider, 1799, Hist. Amphib., 1, p. 238: Type locality unknown.
$\circ$ (M. C. Z. 4177) Indian Seas (E. Gerrard) 1877.
$\sigma^{\prime}$ (M. C. Z. 4834) Indian Seas (Boston Soc. Nat. Hist.) 1880.

Maximum scale-rows 23-25; ventrals 227-233; anals 2; subcaudals 34-43; upper labials $7(3,4)$; loreal 0 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $1+2$; M. C. Z. 4834 is abnormal in lacking an azygous prefrontal. Coloration of this snake is, however, normal with 34 crossbars or bands; there are 30 bands in M. C. Z. 4177 but the black head-marking does not coalesce with even the first nuchal band. Total length of $\circ, 463(415+48) \mathrm{mm}$.

Scale-counts of M. C. Z. 4834, but not of M. C. Z. 4177, are included in Dr. M. A. Smith's (1926, p. 9) "Monograph of the Seasnakes."

## Kerilia jerdoni Gray

Kerilia jerdoni Gray, 1849, Cat. Sn. Brit. Mus., p. 57: Madras, India.

$$
\sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 5207) Madras Coast (H. A. Ward) } 1884 .
$$

Maximum scale-rows 21 (19 in Smith); ventrals 225; anals 2; upper labials $6(3,4)$; loreal 0 ; preocular 1 ; postocular 1 ; temporals $1+1$, the anterior being confluent with the 6 th labial. Coloration normal with 38 crossbars. Total length of $0^{7}, 806(720+86) \mathrm{mm}$.

These scale-counts are included in M. A. Smith's (1926, p. 32) "Monograph of the Sea-snakes," but the race siamensis he describes there was subsequently relegated to the synonymy by him (1943, p. 447).

## Enhydrina schistosa (Daudin)

Hydrophis schistosus Daudin, 1803, Hist. Nat. Rept., 7, p. 386: Tranquebar, India.

O (M. C. Z. 23514) Karachi (M. A. Smith) 1927.<br>○ (juv.) (M. C. Z. 23515) Bombay (M. A. Smith) 1927.

Maximum scale-rows 61-63; ventrals 299-329; anals 2; upper labials $8(3,4)$; loreal 0 ; preocular 1 and 0 ; postocular 1 ; temporals $1+3$ or $2+3$. M. C. Z. 23515 is abnormal in having the prefrontals only slightly narrowed anteriorly; there is no preocular; and there are two small supernumary scales on either side of the parietal suture. Its coloration, with 54 crossbars, not complete bands, is normal for a juvenile, while that of M. C. Z. 23514 is normal for an adult. Total length of $\%$ (M. C. Z. 23514), $889(780+109) \mathrm{mm}$.

Scale-counts of these examples are not included in M. A. Smith's (1926, pp. 39-40) "Monograph of the Sea-snakes."

## Hydrophis spiralis (Shaw)

Hydrus spiralis Shaw, 1802, Gen. Zool., 3, p. 564, pl. cxxv: Indian Ocean.

> or (M. C. Z. 9599) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D. of (M. C. Z. 10257) Indian Seas (Australian Mus.) 1914.

Maximum scale-rows 35 (33 according to Smith (1926, p. 50) for M. C. Z. 9599); ventrals 326-356; anals 2; upper labials $6(3,4)$ or $7(3,4)$; loreal 0 ; preocular 1; postoculars $1-2$; temporals $1+2$. In color both specimens are normal. M. C. Z. 9599 has 47 bars on
its body; 41-46 are usual for examples from Indian waters according to M. A. Smith (1943, p. 453). M. C. Z. 10257 has a pronounced dark ventral line. Total length of $\%, 542(500+42) \mathrm{mm}$.

Except where noted, Dr. M. A. Smith has examined all our specimens of Hydrophiidae and included their scale-counts in his (1926) "Monograph of the Sea-snakes." Unless specifically mentioned my counts agree with his.

## Hydrophis cyanocinctus Daudin

Hydrophis cyanocinctus Daudin, 1803, Hist. Nat. Rept., 7, p. 383 : Sandarbans, India.

$$
\text { \& (M. C. Z. 23611) Karachi (M. A. Smith) } 1927 .
$$

Maximum scale-rows 45 ; ventrals 341 ; anals 2; upper labials 7 (3, 4); loreal 0; preocular 1; postoculars 2 ; temporals $2+2$ or $2+3$; frontal abnormal in being shorter than its distance from the rostral. In coloration the annuli are complete and a ventral stripe is present. Total length of $\%, 1365(1250+115) \mathrm{mm}$.

Dr. M. A. Smith (1926, p. 58) mentions this snake, but omits its scale-counts.

## Hydrophis obscurus Daudin

Hydrophis obscura Daudin, 1803, Hist. Nat. Rept., 7, p. 375: Sandarbans, India.
of (M. C. Z. 23669) Chilka Lake, Orissa (M. A. Smith) 1927.
Maximum scale-rows 33 ; ventrals 300; anals 2; upper labials $7(3,4)$; loreal 0 ; preocular 1 ; postocular 1; temporals $1+2$. Color normal with 37 bars on the body. Total length of $9,762(690+$ 72) mm .

## Hydrophis stricticollis Günther

Hydrophis stricticollis Günther, 1864, Rept. Brit. India, p. 376, pl. xxv, fig. R: India.
$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 23673) Dhamoa River, Orissa (unlocated) (M. A. Smith)
1927.

Maximum scale-rows 46; ventrals 419; anals 2; upper labials 7 (3, 4); loreal 0; preocular 1 ; postoculars 1 (L) or $2(\mathrm{R})$; temporals $1+3$. The coloration is normal with about 51 crossbars. Total length of $0^{7}, 1140(1000+140) \mathrm{mm}$.

The locality may easily be the Dhamra River in Orissa.

## Hydrophis ornatus ornatus (Gray)

 Aturia ornata Gray, 1842, Zool. Misc., p. 61: Indian Ocean. \& (M. C. Z. 5209) Madras Coast (H. A. Ward) 1884.Maximum scale-rows 47; ventrals 312 ; anals 2; upper labials 7 $(3,4)$ or $8(3,4)$; loreal 0 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $2+2$ or $2+5$. Coloration normal. Total length of $\circ, 840(750+90) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Hydrophis mamillaris (Daudin)

Anguis mamillaris Daudin, 1803, Hist. Nat. Rept., 7, p. 340: Vizagapatam, India.
$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 23663) Bombay (M. A. Smith) 1927.
Maximum scale-rows 39 ; ventrals 340 ; anals 2; upper labials 7 $(3,4)$; loreal 0 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals $2+3$. Its color pattern includes a yellow streak in the temporal region and 44 ventrally connected bands. Total length of $\sigma^{\top}, 715(650+65) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Hydrophis fasciatus fasciatus (Schneider)

Hydrus fasciatus Schneider, 1799, Hist. Amphib., 1, p. 240: East Indies.

$$
\sigma^{7} \text { (M. C. Z. 23627) Bengal (M. A. Smith) } 1927 .
$$

Maximum sca'e-rows 51 , decreasing to 29 on neck; ventrals 487; anals 2 ; upper labials $6(3,4)$; loreal 0 ; preocular 1 ; postoculars $1-2$; temporals $1+2$. Coloration normal with 74 crossbars, not complete bands. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 777(710+67) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Astrotia stokesil (Gray)

Hydrus stokesii Gray, 1846, in Stokes, Discov. Australia, 1, p. 502, pl. iii: Australian Seas.
$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 23499) Indian Ocean (M. A. Smith) 1927.
Maximum scale-rows 49 ; ventrals 254 ; anals 2 ; upper labials 8 $(4,5,6)$; loreal 0 ; preocular 1; postoculars 2 ; temporals $2+5$ or $3+4$. Coloration normal with 33 complete bands. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 1227(1050+177) \mathrm{mm}$.

Dissection shows that this snake is undoubtedly a male though listed as a female by Dr. Smith (1926, p. 115).

## Microcephalophis gracilis gracilis (Shaw)

Hydrus gracilis Shaw, 1802, Gen. Zool., 3, p. 560: Type locality unknown.

> juv. (M. C. Z. 5213) Madras Coast (H. A. Ward) 1884.
> on $^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 23796) India (M. A. Smith) 1927.

Maximum scale-rows 35 ; ventrals $252-259$; anals 2 ; upper labials $6(3,4)$; loreal 0 ; preocular 1 ; postocular 1 ; temporals $1+1$. M. C. Z. 5213 has oval spots anteriorly and 47 or 48 dorsal bands; in M. C. Z. 23796 the bands are extremely faint, particularly posteriorly. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 765(700+65) \mathrm{mm}$.

Scale-counts of these specimens are not included in Dr. Smith's (1926, p. 123) "Monograph of the Sea-snakes."

## Microcephalophis cantoris (Günther)

Hydrophis cantoris Günther, 1864, Rept. Brit. India, p. 374: "Penang."

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma^{7}, ~ o ~(M . ~ C . ~ Z . ~ 5206, ~ 5208) ~ M a d r a s ~ C o a s t ~(H . ~ A . ~ W a r d) ~ \\
o^{7}(\text { M. C. Z. 23795) Karwar, West Coast of India (M. A. Smith) } \\
\text { 1927. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Maximum scale-rows 44-46 (41-44 in Smith); ventrals 416-447; anals 2 ; upper labials $6(3,4)$; loreal 0 ; preocular 1 ; postocular 1 ; temporals $1+1$ or $1+2$. Coloration normal; $53-65$ bars on body and tail, and a dark ventral line. Total length of $\%$ (M. C. Z. 5208), $1075(990+85) \mathrm{mm}$.

Dissection shows M. C. Z. 5208 to be a female though listed as a male by Dr. Smith (1926, p. 126).

## Pelamis platurus (Linnaeus)

Anguis platurus Linnaeus, 1766, Syst. Nat. (ed. 12), 1, p. 391: Type locality unknown.
$\circ$ (M. C. Z. 922) Bay of Bengal (J. M. Barnard) 1862.
$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 4226) Indian Seas (E. Gerrard) 1886.

Maximum scale-rows $53-57$; ventrals $330-338$; anals 2; upper labials $7-8$, separated from the orbit by suboculars; loreal 0 ; preocular 1; postoculars $2-3$; temporals $3+5$ or $4+3$. In coloration M. C. Z. 922 conforms to form III and M. C. Z. 4226 to form I of M. A. Smith (1943, p. 476). Total length of $0^{7}, 629(550+79) \mathrm{mm}$.

Scale counts of these specimens are not included in Dr. Smith's (1926, pp. 119-120) "Monograph of the Sea-snakes."

## VIPERIDAE

## Vipera russelli russelli (Shaw)

Coluber russelli Shaw, 1797, Nat. Misc., 8, pl. cexci: Type locality unknown.

juv. (M. C. Z. 4193) India (E. Gerrard) 1877.<br>$0^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 18405) India (A. Loveridge) 1924.<br>juv. (M. C. Z. 46627) Sabathu, Punjab (J. Carleton) N. D.

Midbody scale-rows 29; ventrals 166-169; anal 1; subcaudals 47-49; upper labials $11 ; 3$ scales between labials and eye; 11-14 scales around eye; 2 scales between eye and nasal; nasorostral present; temporals broken up and scale-like. Coloration normal. Total length of $\sigma^{7}, 956(810+146) \mathrm{mm}$.

Whether all the above material should be assigned to the typical form is uncertain in view of the poor locality data and the very slight racial characters assigned to the alleged subspecies described by Deraniyagala (1945, pp. 110-112).

## Echis carinatus carinatus (Schneider)

Pseudoboa carinata Schneider, 1801, Hist. Amphib., 2, p. 285 (based on Russell): Arni, India.

```
\(3 \sigma^{7} 0^{7}, 2\) juv. (M. C. Z. 3843, 3882, 3888) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D.
```

Midbody scale-rows $27-29$; ventrals $139-154$; anal 1 ; subcaudals $23-33$; upper labials $8-10$, none entering the orbit; circumorbital scales exclusive of supraocular $9-11$; supraoculars separated by $8-9$ scales; usually a single ( 2 on one side in one specimen) scale between the eye and labials; 3 scales between the eye and nasal. Total length of $0^{2}($ M. C. Z. 3843$), 333(290+43) \mathrm{mm}$.

My reasons for using trinomials are given below.

## Echis carinatus pyramidum (Geoffroy)

Scythale pyramidum Geoffroy, 1827, Descr. Égypte, Rept., p. 152, pl. vii, fig. 1: Egypt.
$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3226) Bengal (M. M. Carleton) 1869.
\& (M. C. Z. 3765) Ambala (M. M. Carleton) N. D.
$3 \sigma^{7} \sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 5405) 100 miles south of Ambala (M. M. Carleton) 1879.

2 juv. (M. C. Z. 15805-6) Karachi (F. Wall) 1921.<br>$\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 46626) Karauli District, Rajputana (J. Carleton) N. D.

Midbody scale-rows $31-35$; ventrals $162-172$; anal 1 ; subcaudals $27-31$; upper labials $10-12$, none entering the orbit; circumorbital scales exclusive of supraocular 11-15; supraoculars separated by $9-12$ scales ( 9 in one specimen only); 2 scales between the eye and labials; 4 scales between the eye and nasal. Total length of $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3226 ), $467(420+47) \mathrm{mm}$.

In examining our series of the saw-scaled viper it soon became clear that two distinct races were present. Typical specimens from Madras show considerably reduced scale counts - particularly on the head - as compared with snakes from northern India, Arabia, Egypt, and presumably elsewhere in North Africa. These differences can best be seen when contrasted as follows:

| Squamation | Madras | North India |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Midbody scale-rows | $27-29$ | $31-35$ |
| Ventrals | $139-154$ | $162-172$ |
| Upper labials | $8-10$ | $10-12$ |
| Circumorbitals excluding supraocular | $9-11$ | $\mathbf{1 1 - 1 5}$ |
| Scales separating supraoculars | $8-9$ | $9-12$ |
| Scales separating eye from labials | 1 (very rarely 2 ) | 2 |
| Scales separating eye from nasal | 3 | 4 |

Dr. Malcolm Smith, who (1943, p. 488) had already noted the difference in midbody scale-rows, kindly supplied scale counts taken from four specimens in the British Museum, and these confirmed the opinion already arrived at.

The problem arose as to which race was originally figured by Russell, as it is impossible to tell this from his plate, and the figured specimen is no longer in existence according to Dr. Smith. However, the locality (Arni) from which it came is so close to Madras that it is fair to assume that this was the form subsequently named $E$. carinatus by Schneider. In seeking a name for the race inhabiting Northern India, Persia, Arabia, and North Africa, I found that Echis ziczac Gray is the earliest name not based on Russell, according to the synonomy of Echis carinatus in M. Smith (1943 p. 487). However, this name was not founded on actual specimens but is based on E. zic zac Daudin and Boa horrata Schneider. The next available name is Scythule pyramidum Geoffroy which must antedate E. arenicola Boie since, in his description, Boie mentions Geoffroy's description.

## CROTALIDAE

## Agkistrodon himalayanus (Günther)

Halys himalayanus Günther, 1864, Rept. Brit. India, p. 393, pl. xxiv, fig. A: Garhwal, W. Himalayas, India.

$$
\begin{aligned}
13 \sigma^{7} \sigma^{7}, 7 \% & \circ \\
& \text { (M. C. Z. 3138-40, 3143, 3149, 3227, 3230, 4023, } \\
& \text { 4800) Kulu Valley (M. M. Carleton) 1874. } \\
& \text { (M. C. Z. 3150) Ambala (M. M. Carleton) } 1873 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 21 ; ventrals 153-162 ( $\sigma^{7} \sigma^{7}$ ), 160-166 ( ㅇ \% ); anal 1 ; subcaudals $43-51$ ( $\sigma^{\circ} \sigma^{r}$ ), 38-45 (우 ㅇ) ; ; upper labials 6-7 (3); loreal 1; preoculars 2; subocular 1; postoculars 1-2 (2 on right side of M. C. Z. 3140 only); temporals $1+3,1+4,2+3$, or $2+4$, always with 3 large inferior ones. Total length of $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 3139B), $595(505+90) \mathrm{mm}$., of $\circ(\mathrm{M} . \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{Z} 3139 \mathrm{~A}),. 541(470+71) \mathrm{mm}$.

One female (M. C. Z. 3230) is gravid with 7 eggs in the oviducts.

## Agkistrodon hypnale (Merrem)

Cophias hypnale Merrem, 1820, Syst. Amph., p. 155: Ceylon

$$
\begin{array}{rll} 
& o^{7} & \circ(\text { M C Z 3879, 3894) near Madras (R H. Beddome) N.D. } \\
\sigma^{\prime}, 3 & \circ & \text { (M. C. Z. 18067-9) Taliparamba, Madras (F. Wall) } 1923 .
\end{array}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 17 ; ventrals $136-150$; anal 1 ; subcaudals $33-46$; upper labials 7 ( 0 ); loreal 1 ; preoculars 2 ; subocular 1 ; postocular 1 ; temporals $2+3,2+4,2+5,3+3$ or $3+4$. Total length of $\circ$ (M. C. Z. 18067), $370(323+47) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Trimeresurus macrolepis Beddome

Trimeresurus macrolepis Beddome, 1862, Madras Quart. Journ. Med. Sci., 5, p. 2, pl. ii, fig. 6: Anaimalai Hills, India.
¢, $甲$ ?, head (M. C. Z. 3864, 3890) near Madras (R. H. Beddome) N. D.

Midbody scale-rows 12-16; ventrals 135-144; anal 1; subcaudals $50-51$; upper labials $7-8(0)$; loreal 1 ; preoculars 2 ; subocular 1 ; postocular 1 ; temporals $2+2,2+3$ or $2+4$. Total length of $\%$ ? (M.C.Z. $3890), 340(277+63) \mathrm{mm}$.

In M. C. Z. 3864 the supraoculars are separated by a large scale with a smaller one on either side of it. The internasals are separated in this
snake, a condition not found in the other specimens. All three examples are apparently unusual in having two or three labials directly in contact with the subocular, while M. A. Smith (1943, p. 505) states: "a single series of scales between the labials and the elongate subocular." Furthermore, Dr. Smith (1943, p. 502) cites two or three postoculars as a generic character but each of our three snakes has only a single postocular.

## Trimeresurus malabaricus (Jerdon)

Trigonocephalus (Cophias) malabaricus Jerdon, 1853, Journ. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 22, p. 523: Western Ghats, India.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0^{7} 0^{7}, \circ \circ \text { (M.C.Z. 3845-6, 3851, 3883) near Madras (R.H. } \\
& \text { Beddome) N.D. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Midbody scale-rows 19-21; ventrals 144-149 ( $\sigma^{7} \sigma^{7}$ ), 140-148 (와 우) ; anal 1; subcaudals 58-60 ( $\sigma^{7} \sigma^{7}$ ), 53 ( ㅇ) ; upper labials 8-10 (0); loreal 1 ; preoculars 2 ; subocular 1 ; postoculars 2 ; temporals broken up and scale-like; 7-8 scales separating supraoculars; a single series between the labials and subocular. Total length of 9 (M. C. Z. 3883), $458(383+75) \mathrm{mm}$.

## Trimeresurus albolabris Gray

Trimeresurus albolabris Gray, 1842, Zool. Misc., p. 48: China.
$0^{7}, \circ \circ$, head (M. C. Z. 4369, 4490) Ambala (M. M. Carleton) 1878.
Midbody scale-rows 21-23; ventrals 168; anal 1; subcaudals 75 ( $\sigma^{\text {r }}$ ), 60-64 (우 우); upper labials 10-11 (0); loreal 1; preoculars 2; subocular 1; postoculars $2-3$; temporals broken up and scale-like; 11-12 scales separating supraoculars; a double series of scales between the labials and subocular. Total length of $\sigma^{7}($ M. C. Z. 4490$), 637(500+137) \mathrm{mm}$.

This $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 4490) is of importance in having 23 midbody scale-rows and the more numerous subcaudals characterizing T. erythrur$u s$. On the other hand it possesses the smooth temporals of albolabris and agrees with that species in having little or no brown coloring on the tail. The locality is well to the west of any record of erythrurus. Apparently this specimen raises doubts as to the specific status of erythrurus in relation to albolabris.

According to the collector, this snake kills many cattle as it lies upon, rather than under, the rank herbage whose color it resembles.
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[^0]:    $\sigma^{7}$ (M. C. Z. 7225) Baluchistan (F. P. Maynard \& A. H. MacMahon) 1908.

[^1]:    o' (M. C. Z. 3886) "near Madras" (R. H. Beddome) N. D.

